

Contents

Part I	Clarification, Illustration, and Defense of the Confirmation/Evidence Distinction	
1	Introductory Remarks—Inferential Uncertainty	3
	The “Experimental Method”, Belief, and Evidence	3
	Inferential Uncertainty	5
	The Action Agenda	6
	Confirmation and Evidence Conflated	7
	References	13
2	Bayesian and Evidential Paradigms	15
	Two Basic Questions	16
	Probabilities and Beliefs	17
	Bayesian Confirmation	19
	Evidence and Likelihood Ratios	22
	The Evidential Condition	23
	Absolute and Incremental Confirmation	26
	Our Two Accounts and Interpretations of Probability	28
	The Limits of Philosophical Taxonomy	32
	Appendix	32
	References	34
3	Confirmation and Evidence Distinguished	37
	Confirmation as Increased Degree-of-Belief, Evidence as a Likelihood Ratio > 1	37
	A Diagnostic Example: Testing for Tuberculosis	39
	Appraising the Human-Induced Global Warming Hypothesis	40
	A Possible Source of the Base-Rate Fallacy	43
	Provisional Conclusion	45
	Uncertain Inference	46
	References	47

4	Initial Difficulties Dispelled	49
	Philosophical Analysis Cannot Deal with the Complexity of Theory Acceptance.	49
	Probabilistic Measures of Empirical Support Are Inevitably Dogmatic	51
	On the Bayesian Account, Everyone Is (Wrongly) Justified in Believing All Tautologies	53
	Probabilistic Accounts of Justification (Wrongly) Hold that All Data Are Certain.	55
	References.	59
 Part II Comparisons with Other Philosophical Accounts of Evidence		
5	A Subjective Bayesian Surrogate for Evidence	63
	Christensen's S Measure of Confirmation	63
	Intuitions and Purely Probabilistic Measures	65
	The Subjective Bayesian Account and Ours Compared	67
	The Subjective Bayesian Account: Inadequate on Evidence	69
	The Paradox of Evidence on the Subjective Bayesian Account.	70
	References.	71
6	Error-Statistics, Evidence, and Severity	73
	Three Main Features of Error-Statistical Accounts of Theory Testing.	73
	Severe Tests	75
	The Error-Statistical Account of Severity Tested.	76
	Piecemeal Testing.	80
	The "True-Model" Assumption	82
	Further Reflections	87
	Appendix	89
	References.	90
7	Selective Confirmation, Bootstrapping, and Theoretical Constants	93
	Setting the Context.	93
	Selective Confirmation	94
	"Bootstraps"	96
	"Glymour Bootstrapping" and Statistical Bootstrapping.	98
	Confounding	100
	Circularity.	102
	A Worry not Dispelled	103
	Variety of Evidence	104
	The Importance of Determining the Value of Theoretical Constants	105
	References.	106

8	Veridical and Misleading Evidence	109
	The Bayesian Account of Evidence Rejected	109
	Veridical Evidence	110
	Good Reasons for Believing	111
	Quantitative Thresholds, the Accumulation of Evidence, and “Good Reasons to Believe”	115
	Misleading Evidence.	116
	The Probability of Misleading Evidence	118
	Summary.	120
	References.	121
 Part III Applications of the Confirmation/Evidence Distinction to Epistemological Puzzles		
9	The Paradoxes of Confirmation	125
	The Positive Instance Account of Confirmation	126
	The Raven Paradox	127
	The Grue Paradox	130
	The Old Evidence Paradox	135
	Summary.	140
	References.	140
10	Descartes’ Argument from Dreaming and the Problem of Underdetermination	143
	The Argument from Dreaming	143
	There Is No Evidence that We Are Awake or Asleep	144
	But We Have Good Reasons to Believe that We Are Often Awake	145
	The Prior Probability that We Are Conscious Rather Than Dreaming Is Higher	146
	Thought-Experiments	148
	The Problem of Under-Determination	150
	References.	151
11	Concluding Reflections	153
	References.	156
 Appendix: Projections in Model Space: Multi-model Inference Beyond Model Averaging		
		157
Afterword		175
Index		177

Belief, Evidence, and Uncertainty

Problems of Epistemic Inference

Bandyopadhyay, P.S.; Brittan Jr., G.; Taper, M.L.

2016, XIII, 178 p. 9 illus. in color., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-27770-7