

Contents

1	Making Science Work in Policy and Politics	1
1.1	Joint Solving of Problems by Policy and Science	1
1.2	Sharing a Beer with a Politician	2
1.3	Focus on Individual Strengths	3
1.3.1	Different Focus of Scientists and Political Actors	3
1.3.2	Asking Different Questions	5
1.3.3	Collecting Different Data	6
1.3.4	Different Time Frames	7
1.3.5	Reversible Versus Convincing Answers	8
1.3.6	Accumulating Knowledge or Labelling Knowledge Anew	9
1.3.7	The Power of Wishful Thinking	10
1.3.8	Difference Between Logic and Agreement	11
1.3.9	Power for Describing and for Changing the World	12
1.4	Scientific and Political Principles for Bridging the Difference	13
1.4.1	RIU 1: Building Trust in Encounters	14
1.4.2	RIU 2: Exchanging a Maximum of Information	15
1.4.3	RIU 3: Accepting Limits in Mutual Understanding	17
1.4.4	RIU 4: Looking for Internal and External Allies of Science	19
1.5	Professional Organisation of Knowledge Transfer	23
1.6	Components of the RIU Model	24
1.7	Effect of the RIU Model	25
	References	26
2	The RIU Model as an Analytical Framework for Scientific Knowledge Transfer	29
2.1	Introduction to the RIU Model	29
2.2	Scientific Knowledge Transfer and Its Subtasks: Research, Integration, and Utilization	32
2.2.1	Research	33

2.2.2	Integration	33
2.2.3	Utilization.	34
2.3	The Production Lines.	35
2.4	Allies for a Successful Transfer of Knowledge	36
2.4.1	Transfer Through Internal Allies	36
2.4.2	Transfer Through External Allies.	36
2.4.3	Transfer Through Learning Allies	37
2.4.4	Transfer Through Wise Allies	37
2.5	Transfer Through Integrators.	38
2.6	Bricks of Successful Scientific Knowledge Transfer in the RIU Model	39
2.6.1	High Quality Scientific Research	39
2.6.2	Pluralistic Foresighted Integration	42
2.6.3	Democratic Utilization	47
2.7	Checklist for Successful Scientific Knowledge Transfer	49
2.8	Conclusion: The RIU Model as an Analytical Framework for Successful Scientific Knowledge Transfer	52
	References	53
3	Case Studies	55
3.1	Background and Methodology of the Case Studies in Austria	55
3.1.1	The Background: Austrian Sustainability Research Programmes	55
3.1.2	Methodology.	59
3.2	Hand in Hand—Life 2014 in the Pinzgau Region—Common Regional Development Cooperation Instead of Parish-Pump Politics.	61
3.2.1	Project Fact Sheet	61
3.2.2	From Parish-Pump Politics to Regional Cooperation	62
3.2.3	The Project: Life 2014	62
3.2.4	Finding Topics for Regional Development	63
3.2.5	Main Effect on the Regional Association: From “Paper Tiger” to an Active Regional Actor.	64
3.2.6	Active “Regionalverband” for Real Cooperation	66
3.2.7	Factors for the Success of Knowledge Transfer	68
3.2.8	Knowledge Transfer Success Factor List.	74
3.3	Ski Tourism Within Climate Change—The STRATEGE Project.	75
3.3.1	Project Fact Sheet	75
3.3.2	Winter Sports and Climate Change: The STRATEGE Project at Schladming	76
3.3.3	Content of the STRATEGE Project	77
3.3.4	Main Impact: Science-Based Options for Regional Adaptation to Climate Change Instead of Panic.	80

3.3.5	Factors for the Success of Knowledge Transfer	83
3.3.6	Knowledge Transfer Success Factor List.	87
3.4	Indicators for Human Impact on the Biosphere and Sustainable Development.	88
3.4.1	Project Fact Sheet	88
3.4.2	Information About Human Impact on the Environment . .	89
3.4.3	Political Drivers for Measuring Human Impact	90
3.4.4	Project in Causative Indicators and Colonisation of Nature	91
3.4.5	Impact on Improved National and International Public Statistics.	95
3.4.6	Factors for the Success of Knowledge Transfer	96
3.4.7	Knowledge Transfer Success Factor List.	100
3.5	Options for Viennese Agriculture and Horticulture.	101
3.5.1	Project Fact Sheet	101
3.5.2	Starting Point: Insufficient Knowledge About the Significance and the Lack of Strategy of Agriculture in Vienna.	102
3.5.3	The Project: Options for Viennese Agriculture	103
3.5.4	Emphasis: Framework Conditions	106
3.5.5	Emphasis: Production Alternatives	107
3.5.6	Emphasis: Marketing Alternatives	108
3.5.7	Emphasis: Landscape and Local Recreation	108
3.5.8	Emphasis: Education, Art and Entertainment.	109
3.5.9	The Principal Effect: Enhancement of Viennese Agriculture Through Integration into Urban Development.	109
3.5.10	Goals of AgSTEP	110
3.5.11	Factors for the Success of Knowledge Transfer	113
3.5.12	Knowledge Transfer Success Factor List.	118
3.6	Establishing a Legal Basis: Implementing the EU Water Framework Directive in Austria.	119
3.6.1	Project Fact Sheet	119
3.6.2	Background: The EU Water Framework Directive	120
3.6.3	Implementation of the European Water Framework Directive in Austria	122
3.6.4	The Scientific Project.	124
3.6.5	The Effects	126
3.6.6	Factors for the Success of Knowledge Transfer	127
3.6.7	Knowledge Transfer Success Factor List.	131
3.7	Research in the Neusiedler See—Seewinkel National Park Region.	132
3.7.1	Project Fact Sheet	132
3.7.2	Dock Research onto Long-Existing Processes!.	133

3.7.3	The Neusiedler See—Seewinkel Region	135
3.7.4	Research Focus of the National Park	135
3.7.5	The Effects	140
3.7.6	Factors for the Success of Knowledge Transfer	140
3.7.7	Knowledge Transfer Success Factor List.	146
	References	147
4	Theoretical Foundations of RIU	153
4.1	Towards a Political Science Explanation of Scientific Knowledge Transfer.	153
4.2	Linear or Technocratic Models	154
4.3	Different System Models	156
4.4	Functional Models.	157
4.5	Co-production and Communication Models	159
4.6	The RIU Model.	161
4.6.1	Multiple Power Relations Supporting Multiple Science-Based Solutions.	163
4.6.2	“Science Plus Power”: Four Powerful Allies for Science in the RIU Model	164
4.6.3	Internal Allies	165
4.6.4	External Allies	165
4.6.5	Learning Allies	166
4.6.6	Wise Allies.	166
4.6.7	Power for Scientific Knowledge Transfer Against Democratic Rules?.	167
	References	168
5	Outlook—Further Potential Applications of the RIU Model.	173
5.1	From Analyzing to Improving Scientific Knowledge Transfer	173
5.1.1	Independent High Quality Scientific Research	173
5.1.2	Professional, Transparent and Pluralistic Integration	174
5.1.3	Binding, Responsible and Democratic Political Decisions	176
5.1.4	Some Brief Cases Seen Through the Lens of the RIU Model	177
5.2	Better Division of Tasks for a Scientifically Sound, Pluralistic and Democratic Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).	177
5.3	Optimising the Potential of Science and Politics for an Effective and Efficient German Advisory Council on the Environment	181
5.4	Optimizing a Realistic Phronetic Social Science	185
5.5	Quality Management for the Network of the European Forest Institute (EFI)	188

5.6 Quality Assurance of the German Federal Agency
for the Environment (UBA) 193

5.7 Diversifying Responsibility for “Responsible Research
and Innovation”. 198

5.8 Effective Science-Public Communication 202

References 205

Science Makes the World Go Round
Successful Scientific Knowledge Transfer for the
Environment

Böcher, M.; Krott, M.

2016, XIX, 207 p. 44 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-34077-7