

Contents

1	Assessing the Explanatory Relevance of Ascriptions of Technical Functions	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Functional Versus Teleological Explanation: Why Was Artifact X Produced?	3
1.2.1	The ICE Theory of Technical Functions	3
1.2.2	Heuristics of Technical Function Ascriptions	4
1.3	Malfunction Explanation	9
1.3.1	Malfunction Analysis: An Engineering Example	9
1.4	Conclusions	13
	References	13
2	Mechanistic Explanation in Engineering Science	17
2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	Mechanistic Explanation in Engineering Science	19
2.2.1	Mechanistic Explanation: Explanation by Decomposition and (Role) Function Ascription	19
2.2.2	Function and Functional Decomposition in Engineering	20
2.2.3	Reverse Engineering Explanation (and Redesign): Token Level Capacity Explanation	22
2.2.4	Malfunction Explanation	24
2.2.5	Abstraction, Generality, and Type Level Capacity Explanation	25
2.2.6	Capturing Mechanistic Explanation in Engineering Science: Pluralism About Mechanistic Role Functions	27
2.3	Explanation by Effect Functional Decomposition: Where Engineering and Systems Biology Meet	29
2.3.1	Engineering and Mechanistic Explanation in System Biology: The <i>E. coli</i> Heat Shock Case	29

2.4	Explanatory Power: Rethinking the Explanatory Desiderata of ‘Abstraction’ and ‘Completeness and Specificity’	32
2.4.1	Malfunction Explanation: Local Specificity and Global Abstraction	32
2.4.2	Malfunction Explanation in Biology	35
	References.	36
3	Assessing the Roles of Design Representations: Counterfactual Understanding and Technical Advantage Predictions.	39
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Design Representations and the Problem of the Absent Artifact.	41
3.3	Exposing the Problem of the Absent Artifact as a Pseudo-Problem.	43
3.4	Elaborating Roles of Design Representations	47
3.4.1	Counterfactual Understanding	47
3.4.2	Prediction and Technical Advantage Statements	50
3.5	Conclusion	54
	References.	55
4	On Testing Engineering Design Methods: Explanation, Reverse Engineering, and Constitutive Relevance.	57
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Mechanistic Explanation: Explanation by Decomposition.	59
4.2.1	Mechanistic Explanation	60
4.3	Mutual Manipulability and the Causal-Constitutive Relevance Distinction.	61
4.3.1	Mutual Manipulability.	61
4.3.2	Fat-Handedness and Mutual Manipulability Combined	63
4.4	Testing (Reverse) Engineering Design Methods: Applying Mutual Manipulability.	65
4.4.1	Mechanistic Reverse Engineering Explanation	65
4.4.2	Testing Case	68
4.4.3	The Goodness of Design Representations	70
4.5	Outlook and Conclusions	72
	References.	73

<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-35154-4>

The Philosophy of Science and Engineering Design
van Eck, D.

2016, IX, 75 p. 9 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-35154-4