

# Contents

- 1 Preamble.** . . . . . 1
  - 1.1 Motivation and Historical Background . . . . . 1
  - 1.2 Outline. . . . . 4
  - 1.3 General Remarks. . . . . 6
  - References . . . . . 7
- 2 Introduction and Theoretical Background.** . . . . . 9
  - 2.1 Interactions of X-Rays with Matter . . . . . 9
    - 2.1.1 Complex Refractive Index . . . . . 10
    - 2.1.2 Attenuation of X-Rays. . . . . 11
    - 2.1.3 Phase-Shift and Refraction of X-Rays . . . . . 12
    - 2.1.4 Absorption and Phase-Shift Cross Section . . . . . 14
  - 2.2 Grating-Based Imaging. . . . . 17
    - 2.2.1 The Talbot Effect . . . . . 17
    - 2.2.2 X-Ray Talbot Interferometry. . . . . 19
    - 2.2.3 Phase-Stepping . . . . . 21
    - 2.2.4 Contrast Retrieval . . . . . 23
    - 2.2.5 Adaptations for Clinical Use. . . . . 26
  - 2.3 The Female Breast. . . . . 29
    - 2.3.1 Breast Anatomy . . . . . 29
    - 2.3.2 Breast Cancer . . . . . 31
  - References . . . . . 34
- 3 Experimental Setup and Methods Development.** . . . . . 37
  - 3.1 Phase-Contrast Mammography Setup . . . . . 37
  - 3.2 Software Package `mammolib`. . . . . 41
  - References . . . . . 43
- 4 Bi-Directional Phase-Contrast Mammography.** . . . . . 45
  - 4.1 Introduction | Limitations of Mono-Directional Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . . 45
  - 4.2 Results. . . . . 47

4.2.1	Two-Dimensional Phase Retrieval . . . . .	47
4.2.2	Imaging Sensitivity of Mono- Versus Bi-Directional Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .	50
4.2.3	“Directional” Dark-Field Mammography . . . . .	52
4.2.4	One- Versus Two-Directional Full-Field Phase-Contrast Retrieval . . . . .	53
4.3	Discussion   Diagnostic Sensitivity Requires Bi-Directional Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .	55
4.4	Materials and Methods . . . . .	57
4.4.1	Imaging Dose . . . . .	57
	References . . . . .	57
<b>5</b>	<b>Screening Value of Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1	Introduction   Current Shortcomings of Clinical Screening . . . . .	59
5.2	Results . . . . .	61
5.2.1	Case I   Dark-Field Mammography Reveals Radiographically Undetectable Tumor Nodules . . . . .	61
5.2.2	Case II   Dark-Field Mammography Reveals Pervasion of the Breast with Partially Tumorous Soft-Tissue Strands . . . . .	64
5.2.3	Case III   Phase-Contrast Mammography Reveals Tumor Margins with Special Cellular Characteristics . . . . .	66
5.2.4	Case IV   Phase-Contrast Mammography Reveals Actual Tumor Spread . . . . .	68
5.3	Discussion   Phase-Contrast Mammography as a Promising Candidate for Clinical Screening . . . . .	70
5.4	Materials and Methods . . . . .	72
5.4.1	Study Design and Sample Acquisition . . . . .	72
5.4.2	Imaging Dose . . . . .	73
5.4.3	Preoperative Diagnostics . . . . .	73
5.4.4	Histological Work-Up . . . . .	73
5.4.5	Ex-Vivo Clinical Mammography . . . . .	74
5.4.6	Correlation Phase-Contrast Mammography, Ex-Vivo Mammography, In-Vivo Imaging and Histology . . . . .	74
	References . . . . .	74
<b>6</b>	<b>Microcalcification Assessment with Dark-Field Mammography . . . . .</b>	<b>77</b>
6.1	Motivation   Limitations of Conventional Microcalcification Analyses . . . . .	77
6.2	Results . . . . .	80
6.2.1	In-Situ Microcalcification Assessment with Dark-Field Mammography . . . . .	80
6.2.2	Dependence of Absorption $\bar{\mu}$ and Scattering Power $\bar{\epsilon}$ of Microcalcification Clusters on Their Inherent Micromorphology . . . . .	82

6.2.3	Microtexture of Microcalcifications as a Potential Indicator for Early Tissue Malignancy . . . . .	86
6.3	Conclusion   Microcalcification Assessment as a Diagnostically Promising Add-On Tool . . . . .	88
6.4	Materials and Methods . . . . .	91
6.4.1	Pool of Specimens . . . . .	91
6.4.2	Data Normalization . . . . .	91
6.4.3	Micro-CT Measurements . . . . .	91
6.4.4	Voxel-Based Segmentation Algorithm . . . . .	92
	References . . . . .	92
<b>7</b>	<b>Toward Clinically Compatible Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .</b>	<b>95</b>
7.1	Motivation   Status Quo of Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .	95
7.2	Results . . . . .	97
7.2.1	Setup Optimization . . . . .	97
7.2.2	Experimental Verification . . . . .	99
7.2.3	Dark-Field Tomosynthesis . . . . .	101
7.3	Conclusion   Phase-Contrast Mammography Adaptable to Clinical Requirements . . . . .	104
7.4	Materials and Methods . . . . .	106
7.4.1	Image Processing . . . . .	106
7.4.2	Imaging Dose . . . . .	106
7.4.3	Tomosynthesis Protocol . . . . .	107
7.4.4	Image Fusion Protocol . . . . .	107
	References . . . . .	109
<b>8</b>	<b>Non-invasive Kidney Stone Differentiation Using Dark-Field Radiography . . . . .</b>	<b>111</b>
8.1	Motivation   Limitations of Clinical Kidney Stone Diagnostics . . . . .	111
8.2	Results . . . . .	113
8.2.1	Analytical Description . . . . .	113
8.2.2	Absorption Characteristics of Renal Calculi . . . . .	114
8.2.3	Scattering Characteristics of Renal Calculi . . . . .	114
8.2.4	X-Ray Dark-Field Radiography of Renal Calculi . . . . .	116
8.2.5	Statistical Analysis of Renal Calculi Classification . . . . .	117
8.2.6	Diagnostic Performance of Renal Calculi Classification . . . . .	117
8.3	Conclusion   Highly Sensitive Kidney Stone Assessment via Dark-Field Abdomen Radiography . . . . .	119
8.4	Materials and Methods . . . . .	121
8.4.1	Study Design . . . . .	121
8.4.2	Statistical Analysis . . . . .	122
8.4.3	Micro-CT Measurements . . . . .	122

8.4.4	X-Ray Dark-Field Interferometer . . . . .	122
8.4.5	Imaging Dose . . . . .	123
8.4.6	Potential Clinical Implementation . . . . .	123
	References . . . . .	124
<b>9</b>	<b>Summary and Outlook.</b> . . . .	<b>127</b>
9.1	Summary of Results. . . . .	127
9.1.1	Diagnostic Merit of Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .	127
9.1.2	Clinical Compatibility of Phase-Contrast Mammography . . . . .	128
9.1.3	Renal Stone Assessment via X-Ray Dark-Field Radiography . . . . .	129
9.2	Current Limitations and Future Challenges . . . . .	129
9.3	Outlook and Next Steps . . . . .	131
	References . . . . .	133
	<b>Appendix A: Supplementary Movies</b> . . . . .	<b>135</b>
	<b>Appendix B: Correct Quantitative Evaluation of X-ray Dark-Field Images for Microcalcification Analysis in Mammography.</b> . . . .	<b>137</b>
	<b>References</b> . . . . .	<b>140</b>

Grating-Based X-Ray Phase-Contrast Mammography

Scherer, K.H.

2016, XX, 140 p. 47 illus., 33 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-39536-4