

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Theoretical and Practical Background	1
1.2	Approach and Aim	3
1.2.1	Three Perspectives	3
1.2.2	Two Questions	6
1.2.3	Differences Between Jurisdictions	6
1.2.4	Moral and Criminal Responsibility	7
1.3	Overview of the Chapters	9
2	Legal Insanity Standards: Their Structure and Elements	11
2.1	Historical Roots	12
2.2	The <i>M’Naghten</i> Rule	14
2.3	The Irresistible Impulse Test	22
2.4	Model Penal Code (American Law Institute)	26
2.5	Product Test or <i>Durham</i> Rule	32
2.6	Norway: “Medical Principle”	35
2.7	No Standard	37
2.8	Conclusion	40
3	Arguments Against the Insanity Defense and Responses	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Past Mental State	44
3.3	Expert Testimony	47
3.4	Deterrence Undermined	48
3.5	Escape Punishment by Faking	51
3.6	Mental Disorder as Myth or At Least Conceptually Unsound	52
3.7	Only for the Rich	57
3.8	Lack of Clarity About the Criteria for Insanity	58
3.9	We Are All Caused to Act as We Do	58
3.10	Stigma	59

3.11	Concerns About the Profession in an Adversarial System	60
3.12	Too Rare to Be Worth the Trouble	61
3.13	Conclusion	62
4	Lack of Free Will and Irrationality	63
4.1	Free Will	63
4.1.1	The Problem of Free Will in Philosophy	65
4.1.2	Free Will not Relevant to Legal Responsibility?	67
4.1.3	Three Meanings of Free Will	69
4.1.4	Urges and Free Will	74
4.1.5	Moral Insensitivity and Free Will	78
4.2	Irrationality	79
4.2.1	A Case for Irrationality as Excusing Condition	80
4.2.2	Vagueness and Crazyiness	82
4.2.3	Model Penal Code and Rationality	83
4.3	Conclusion	84
5	Competent and Compromised Decision-Making	87
5.1	Analogy Between Patient Incompetency and Legal Insanity	87
5.1.1	Similarities and Differences	89
5.1.2	The Four-Capacities Approach to Competency	93
5.1.3	Incapacities Instead of Mental Disorder	95
5.1.4	An Insanity Standard Based on Criteria for Patient Incompetency?	98
5.1.5	Transcending the Boundaries of Legal Systems	102
5.1.6	Inauthenticity	103
5.2	Stages of Decision-Making	108
5.2.1	Three Stages of Decision-Making and Mental Disorders	109
5.2.2	Insanity and the Three-Stage Model	112
5.3	Conclusion	113
6	Neurolaw: Challenges and Opportunities	115
6.1	Neurolaw: Three Domains of Research	116
6.1.1	Revision	116
6.1.2	Assessment	118
6.1.3	Intervention	120
6.2	Neuroscience and Psychiatry	122
6.3	Insanity and the Neuroscience of a Person's Decision-Making	123
6.4	Neuroscience and the Legal Norm	127
6.5	Brain-Based Mind Reading and Insanity Evaluations	131
6.6	Reliability of Neuroscience	132
6.7	Two Neurolaw Cases and the Constellation of Findings	134
6.7.1	A Schoolteacher's Abnormal Behavior: Correlation and Constellation	134
6.7.2	Brain Development in Adolescence: Revising a Legal Norm	138

6.8	A Complicating Factor: Differences Between Legal Systems	141
6.9	Conclusion	142
7	Issues to Consider When Revising Legal Insanity	145
7.1	Boundaries Between Psychiatry and Law	145
7.2	Consistency with Common Morality and Moral Theory	147
7.3	Clarity in Practice	148
7.4	The Burden of Proof.	151
	7.4.1 What Must Be Proven?	152
	7.4.2 Who Bears the Burden of Proof?	152
	7.4.3 The Standard of Proof.	155
7.5	The Element of Mental Disorder	155
	7.5.1 Mental Disorder as an Explicit Requirement for Insanity.	155
	7.5.2 Mental Disorder: Medical or Legal?	159
7.6	Can the Criteria Be Reliably Tested?	160
7.7	Degrees of Responsibility.	161
7.8	Insanity Does not Imply Dangerousness	163
7.9	Similarities and Differences with Respect to Other Legal Systems.	164
7.10	Legal Insanity as Part of a System	165
7.11	Conclusion	166
8	Concluding Observations on the Present and Future of Insanity.	169
8.1	The Present	169
8.2	The Future	173
	References	177
	Index.	191

Legal Insanity: Explorations in Psychiatry, Law, and
Ethics

Meynen, G.

2016, XIII, 196 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-44719-3