

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.1.1	Motivation for Writing This Book	1
1.1.2	Doping Cases Won or Lost on Evidence	2
1.1.3	Doping Cases Inevitably Involve Scientific Issues	2
1.2	Subject Matter	3
1.2.1	Scope of the Topics Addressed	3
1.2.2	What This Book Is and What It Is Not	6

Part I Legal and Scientific Constraints on Evidence in Anti-Doping

2	Focus of the Analysis	11
2.1	Focus on International Doping Cases	11
2.1.1	Reconciling the Universality of Science with the Locality of Law	12
2.1.2	International Doping Cases Before CAS	21
2.1.3	Importance of Swiss Law in International Doping Cases	24
2.2	Focus on Evidence Under the 2015 WADC	29
2.2.1	Evidentiary Regime of the WADC	30
2.2.2	Gathering Scientific Evidence through Doping Control	37
2.3	Focus on the Interplay of Science and Law	46
2.3.1	Reflections on the Logic of Anti-Doping Programs	46
2.3.2	Analytical Science as the Core Source of Scientific Evidence	51
2.3.3	Legal Approaches to Anti-Doping Science	56

3	Legal Constraints on Evidence in Anti-Doping	63
3.1	Principles Governing Evidence in International Arbitration	63
3.1.1	Legal Characterisation of Evidentiary Issues	64
3.1.2	Substantive Aspects of Evidence	68
3.1.3	Procedural Aspects of Evidence	91
3.1.4	Evaluation of Evidence	97
3.2	Limits of Private Autonomy in Anti-Doping	103
3.2.1	Private Autonomy on Evidentiary Issues in Anti-Doping	104
3.2.2	The Main Limits on Private Autonomy Under Swiss Law	108
3.2.3	Integrated Approach to Consent in Anti-Doping	123
3.3	The WADC Evidentiary Regime Demystified	148
3.3.1	Anti-Doping Regulations: Private Agreements on Evidence	148
3.3.2	Doping Control: Private Gathering of Scientific Evidence	151
4	Scientific Constraints on Evidence in Anti-Doping	161
4.1	Role(s) of Science in the Fight Against Doping	161
4.1.1	Anti-Doping Regulations as “Science-Based Law”	162
4.1.2	Defining “Science” in a Legal Context	167
4.2	Interplay Between Science and Law	172
4.2.1	Challenges of Law in the Face of Science	173
4.2.2	Evidentiary Mechanisms to Overcome These Challenges	180
4.3	Mutual Influences Between Science and Law	185
4.3.1	Scientists in the Judicial Process	185
4.3.2	Lawyers in the Realm of Science	199
4.4	Roadmap to a Combined Scientific and Legal Approach	208
4.4.1	Consent?: Yes, But	208
4.4.2	Scientific Foundations as the Key Factor	209

Part II Science in the WADC Evidentiary Regime

5	Doping Control—Gathering Scientific Evidence for Legal Purposes	213
5.1	Operational Framework of Doping Control	213
5.1.1	Scientific Participants in Doping Control	214
5.1.2	Technical Rules Governing Evidence-Gathering in Doping Control	233
5.2	Procedural Defects in Doping Control	267
5.2.1	Importance of Procedural Defects Under the WADC	268
5.2.2	General “Presumption” of Adherence to Applicable Procedures	283

5.2.3	Materiality of Procedural Defects	301
5.2.4	“False Positives” and the Risk of Hazard.	317
5.3	Suggestions for a Sound Gathering of Evidence in Doping Control	329
5.3.1	Real Stakes: Admissibility of Scientific Evidence in the Judicial Process	330
5.3.2	Soundness of the Current Distribution of the Burden of Proof	332
5.3.3	Effects of Procedural Defects on the Outcome of the Process	337
5.3.4	Fallibility of Analytical Science Over and Above Procedural Defects	341
6	Analytical Science—Approaches in “Traditional” Doping Control	343
6.1	Technology Race <i>versus</i> Mastery of Existing Technologies	343
6.1.1	“State-of-the-Art” Policy in the WADC Regime	344
6.1.2	Attempts to Reduce Discrepancies in Analytical Science	349
6.2	Zero Tolerance <i>versus</i> Complexity of Analytical Science	356
6.2.1	Scientific Justification for Zero Tolerance Under the WADC	356
6.2.2	Formal and Concealed Limits to the Zero Tolerance Rule	359
6.2.3	Deceptive Absoluteness of Adverse Analytical Findings	371
6.2.4	Evidentiary Significance of the B Sample Confirmation	390
6.3	Scientific Innovation <i>versus</i> Legal Scrutiny.	409
6.3.1	Approaches to Scientific Innovation in the WADC Regime.	409
6.3.2	Evolution in CAS Judicial Review of Analytical Science	412
6.3.3	New Presumption of Scientific Validity for the 2015 WADC	419
6.3.4	Discussion on the Soundness of the New Presumption	427
6.4	Evidentiary Strength of Traditional Doping Control in Question	431
6.4.1	Gap Between Original Pillars of the WADC Regime and Current Realities	431
6.4.2	Blurring Evidentiary Value of Adverse Analytical Findings	433
6.4.3	Struggle to Fit Scientific Issues into a Manageable Legal Framework	435

7	Standardisation in Anti-Doping—Science <i>versus</i> Evidentiary Pragmatism	437
7.1	WADA Prohibited List—Scientific or Political Tool?	437
7.1.1	Scientific Foundations of the Prohibited List	438
7.1.2	Combining Scientific Flexibility with Legal Stability of the Prohibition	459
7.2	Impact on Sports Performance—A Forced Irrelevance?	479
7.2.1	Limited Relevance of Performance Enhancement	480
7.2.2	“Automatic” Disqualification as a Corrective Measure	481
7.2.3	Other Hybrid Types of Disqualification	487
7.2.4	Greater Consistency in the Approach to Performance Enhancement for Disqualification	495
7.3	Role of Fault—A Matter of Evidentiary Convenience?	500
7.3.1	Fault-Related Components of the WADC Regime	500
7.3.2	Strict Liability Rule Under the WADC	503
7.3.3	Presumed Fault for Disciplinary Sanctions	518
7.3.4	Need for Ongoing Monitoring and Re-evaluation	539
8	Scientific Evidence in CAS Arbitration for Doping Disputes	543
8.1	Dealing with Scientific Evidence in Doping Disputes	543
8.1.1	Freedom of Evidence in Doping Disputes	544
8.1.2	Restrictions on the Freedom of Evidence in Doping Disputes	552
8.2	Access to Scientific Documentation for the Athlete	570
8.2.1	Access to Scientific Documentation Based on the WADC Regime	571
8.2.2	Athlete Rights to Further Scientific Documentation	582
8.2.3	Consequences for the Evaluation of the Evidence	596
8.3	Doping Disputes: An Expert’s Business	599
8.3.1	Expert Independence in Doping Disputes	599
8.3.2	Methods for Managing Expert Evidence in Doping Disputes	614
8.3.3	Evaluation of Expert Evidence in Doping Disputes	627
8.4	CAS Panels and Scientific Evidence—Caution Required	640
8.4.1	Restrictions on Athletes’ Access to Scientific Evidence	640
8.4.2	Search for Proficient and Independent Scientific Experts	642
8.4.3	Control and Evaluation of Expert Evidence	644

Part III Rethinking Scientific Evidence in Anti-Doping

9	Mechanisms Used in “Traditional” Doping Control	649
9.1	Mechanisms of Avoidance: Legal Hands-off Approach to Science	649
9.1.1	Approach to Science in Traditional Doping Control	650
9.1.2	Legal Regime That Disregards Causality	653
9.2	Mechanisms of Coordination: Leaving Space to Science	660
9.2.1	Flexibility for Advances in Anti-Doping Science	660
9.2.2	Rebuttable Presumptions to Back-up Anti-Doping Science	661
9.3	Mechanisms of Control: Science to the Scientist, Law to the Lawyer	663
9.3.1	Legal Control Over Science to Compensate for Imbalance in Knowledge	664
9.3.2	Use of Science as a Rubber Stamp for Rationality	666
10	Paths to Improvement Through New Approaches	669
10.1	Reinforced Interactions Between Scientists and Lawyers	669
10.1.1	Tackling Scientific Evidence in Anti-Doping Beyond 2015	670
10.1.2	Judicial Review of Science and Its “Healthy” Limits	673
10.2	Stronger Qualitative Approach in Gathering Evidence	678
10.2.1	Harmonised Testing Conditions to Secure Quality of the Evidence	678
10.2.2	Clarifying the Position of Analytical Science	683
10.3	Higher Refinement in the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence	690
10.3.1	Drawing Legal Inferences from Scientific Evidence	690
10.3.2	Methodology for the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence in Anti-Doping	700
11	Athlete Biological Passport: A Paradigm Shift?	727
11.1	Main Features of the Athlete Biological Passport	727
11.1.1	Basic Principles Underlying the Athlete Biological Passport	728
11.1.2	Current Haematological and Steroidal Modules	730
11.1.3	Procedure for Cases Based on the Athlete Biological Passport	732
11.2	Challenges for Implementing the Athlete Biological Passport	734
11.2.1	System of Legal Rules or Scientific Evidentiary Method?	734
11.2.2	Integrating a New Paradigm into a Pre-Existing Framework	737
11.2.3	Practical and Operational Challenges	738

11.3	Evaluation of Evidence for the Athlete Biological Passport	739
11.3.1	Statistics, Probabilities and “Reliable Means”	740
11.3.2	Determining Relevant Probabilities and Framing Hypotheses	742
11.3.3	Irreducible Qualitative Factors	746
11.4	Role Distribution Between Scientific Experts and Hearing Panels	749
11.4.1	Independence and Impartiality of the Experts	749
11.4.2	Hearing Panel’s Dependency on Expert Opinions	753
11.5	Demise of Strict Liability and Presumed Fault?	769
11.5.1	The “Doping Scenario”	769
11.5.2	Residual Room for Fault-Related Adjustment of the Sanction	777
12	General Conclusions: Interdisciplinary Dialogue and Reflection . . .	779
12.1	Only Clarify	779
12.2	Only Dialogue	781
12.3	Only Refine	782
12.4	Only Anticipate	783
	References	785
	Table of Cases	801
	Index	809

Evidence in Anti-Doping at the Intersection of Science
& Law

Viret, M.

2016, XXVI, 821 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-083-1

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press