

Contents

Part I Jus Cogens: Quo Vadis?

1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Humanization and Fragmentation of International Law	3
	Maarten den Heijer and Harmen van der Wilt	
1.1	Introduction	4
1.2	The <i>Jus Cogens</i> Debate	6
1.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Human Rights	8
1.4	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Quest for Hierarchy	15
1.5	Conclusion	19
	References	20
2	Sherlock Holmes and the Mystery of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	23
	Dinah Shelton	
2.1	Introduction	24
2.2	The Origins of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	26
2.2.1	Natural Law	27
2.2.2	Logical or Legal Necessity	28
2.2.3	General Principles of Law	30
2.2.4	Consent	32
2.3	Functions of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	34
2.3.1	Functions in the Law of Treaties	35
2.3.2	Accountability	37
2.3.3	Resolving Priorities Between Conflicting Norms	39
2.3.4	Declaring Fundamental Values	42
2.4	Conclusions	46
	References	49
3	Understanding the <i>Jus Cogens</i> Debate: The Pervasive Influence of Legal Positivism and Legal Idealism	51
	Ulf Linderfalk	
3.1	Introduction	52

3.2	The Source of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Obligations.	56
3.2.1	Legal Positivism.	56
3.2.2	Legal Idealism.	58
3.3	The Role of Consent in the Creation and Modification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	62
3.3.1	Legal Positivism.	62
3.3.2	Legal Idealism.	64
3.4	Identifying <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	65
3.4.1	Legal Positivism.	65
3.4.2	Legal Idealism	68
3.5	The Scope of <i>Jus Cogens</i> : Delimiting the Concept	69
3.5.1	Legal Positivism.	69
3.5.2	Legal Idealism	71
3.6	The Function and Effects of the <i>Jus Cogens</i> Regime.	72
3.6.1	Legal Positivism.	73
3.6.2	Legal Idealism	74
3.7	The Function of <i>Jus Cogens</i> in International Legal Discourse.	74
3.7.1	The Meaning Potential of ‘ <i>Jus Cogens</i> ’	75
3.7.2	Legal Positivism and Legal Idealism	78
3.8	Conclusions	80
	References	81
4	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Social Construct Without Pedigree	85
	Jean d’Aspremont	
4.1	Introduction	86
4.1.1	The Premises: Post-ontological Nonchalance	86
4.1.2	The Argument: A Social Construction Without Pedigree	89
4.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Social Construct: Reasons for a Resounding Success	91
4.2.1	A More Systemic and Morally Cohesive International Legal Order	92
4.2.2	An Infinite World of Possibilities and Impossibilities: The Creative Pull of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	93
4.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Construct Without Pedigree	97
4.3.1	The Pedigree of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	98
4.3.2	The Pedigree of the <i>Jus Cogens</i> Mechanism and Avoidance-Techniques	102
4.4	Concluding Observations: The Two-Faceted International Lawyer	107
	References	109

5	Audience and Authority—The Merit of the Doctrine of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	115
	Alexander Orakhelashvili	
5.1	Introduction	116
5.2	The Basis and Essence of Non-derogability from <i>Jus Cogens</i>	118
5.2.1	Non-derogability Under the Vienna Convention	118
5.2.2	Non-derogability and the Material Content of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Rules	119
5.2.3	‘Community Recognition’ of <i>Jus Cogens</i> , Non-derogability, and the Sources of International Law	122
5.2.4	Which Particular Source of Law?	123
5.3	Objective Treaty Obligations and Their Relation to <i>Jus Cogens</i>	124
5.3.1	A Preliminary Issue: The Nature and Basis of <i>Erga Omnes</i> Obligations	124
5.3.2	Obligations <i>Erga Omnes</i> and Obligations <i>Erga Omnes Partes</i>	125
5.3.3	Handling the Incidences of <i>Erga Omnes</i> Obligations in the ICJ Jurisprudence	129
5.3.4	The Structure of the Rules and Obligations Under the 1949 Geneva Conventions	131
5.3.5	The <i>Erga Omnes Partes</i> Obligations Proper	133
5.4	The Application of <i>Jus Cogens</i> to Contested Areas	134
5.4.1	The Effect-Focused Rationale of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	134
5.4.2	The Effect of <i>Jus Cogens</i> on the Immunities of States and Their Officials	138
5.4.2.1	General Aspects	138
5.4.2.2	The Alleged Difference Between Criminal and Civil Proceedings	139
5.4.2.3	Derogation from <i>Jus Cogens</i> Through the Claiming and Granting of State Immunity	140
5.4.2.4	State Practice in Balance	141
5.4.2.5	The Outcome on Immunities	142
5.5	Conclusion	143
	References	144
6	Genesis, Function and Identification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	147
	Stefan Kadelbach	
6.1	Introduction	148
6.2	Genesis and Present Shape	150
6.2.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Theoretical Concept	150
6.2.2	State Practice	151

6.2.3	International Courts and Tribunals	153
6.2.3.1	Judgments Based on General International Law	153
6.2.3.2	Judgments Based on Human Rights.	156
6.2.3.3	International Criminal Tribunals	159
6.2.3.4	Interim Conclusion: Function and Identification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> in Court Practice.	161
6.3	Original and Derivative Functions of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	161
6.3.1	Functions in Positive Law	161
6.3.1.1	Nullifying Effect.	161
6.3.1.2	Enhanced Responsibility and <i>Erga Omnes</i> Effects.	162
6.3.1.3	The Relationship with International Criminal Law	163
6.3.1.4	Procedural Law.	164
6.3.1.5	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Domestic Law.	164
6.3.2	The Function of <i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Legal Argument.	165
6.4	The Identification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	166
6.4.1	Method	166
6.4.2	Examples	168
6.5	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Problem of Universal Validity	169
	References	170
7	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as the ‘Highest Law’? Peremptory Norms and Legal Hierarchies.	173
	Thomas Kleinlein	
7.1	Introduction	174
7.2	Concepts of Legal Hierarchy	176
7.2.1	Structural Hierarchy: Hierarchy According to the Conditions of Law-Making	177
7.2.2	Substantial Hierarchy: Hierarchy According to Derogatory Power.	177
7.2.3	Logical Hierarchy: Hierarchy as Different Levels of Language	178
7.2.4	Axiological Hierarchy: Hierarchy Based on the Importance of Contents	179
7.3	The Special Status of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	180
7.3.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Distinction Between Primary and Secondary Rules	180
7.3.2	Invalidity.	181
7.3.2.1	Invalidity of Treaties and the Concepts of Hierarchy	181
7.3.2.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Resolutions of the Security Council of the United Nations	185

7.3.2.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Invalidity of Customary International Law	187
7.3.3	Non-derogability	189
7.3.3.1	Non-derogability and Exceptions	189
7.3.3.2	Non-derogability, <i>Jus Cogens</i> and Obligations <i>Erga Omnes</i>	191
7.3.3.3	Non-derogability, <i>Jus Cogens</i> and the UN Charter	193
7.3.4	Qualified Law-Making Procedure for <i>Jus Cogens</i>	194
7.3.5	Recognition of Moral Paramountcy	197
7.3.5.1	The Problem of Defining a Threshold	197
7.3.5.2	In Search of Indications for Recognised Moral Paramountcy	199
7.3.5.3	Axiological Hierarchy, <i>Jus Cogens</i> , Obligations <i>Erga Omnes</i> and the UN Charter	200
7.3.6	Further Attributes Defining the Special Status of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	202
7.4	Conclusion	205
	References	206
8	In Quest of the Practical Value of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	211
	Elizabeth Santalla Vargas	
8.1	Introduction	212
8.2	On the Customary Law Nature of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	213
8.3	On the Use and Misuse of the Normative Value of <i>Jus Cogens</i> in International Adjudication	218
8.4	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Effects Beyond Treaty Law	223
8.5	The Potential of <i>Jus Cogens Superveniens</i>	229
8.5.1	The Inter-temporal Law Doctrine and <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	231
8.5.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Question of Separability of Treaty Provisions	233
8.6	Concluding Remarks	236
	References	237
9	Constitutional Conversations in the Anthropocene: In Search of Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	241
	Louis J. Kotzé	
9.1	Introduction	242
9.2	The Potential Value of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms: A Brief Appraisal	246
9.3	Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> : Where Are We at?	248
9.3.1	Normative Superiority and Conflict Resolution	248
9.3.2	Environmental Damage, Overexploitation and International Crimes	249

9.3.3	Common Interest of the International Community	250
9.3.4	Judicial Development of Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i>	251
9.4	Conceptual and Practical Obstacles to the Emergence of Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms.	252
9.5	Thinking into the Future: Potentialities for Imagining Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms.	256
9.5.1	The Anthropocene as a Cognitive Framework.	257
9.5.2	Extending Existing <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms into the Environmental Domain.	260
9.5.3	From Customary International Law to Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> ?	266
9.6	Conclusion	268
	References	268
10	Non-refoulement as Custom and <i>Jus Cogens</i>? Putting the Prohibition to the Test	273
	Cathryn Costello and Michelle Foster	
10.1	Introduction	274
10.2	Understanding and Identifying <i>Jus Cogens</i>	276
10.2.1	‘Custom Plus’	279
10.2.2	Understanding Non-derogability	280
10.3	<i>Non-refoulement</i> as Customary International Law	282
10.3.1	Non-refoulement in Contemporary Treaty Law.	283
10.3.2	<i>Opinio Juris</i>	286
10.3.2.1	General Assembly Resolutions and the Recognition of Non-refoulement	287
10.3.2.2	Executive Committee of the UNHCR.	290
10.3.2.3	Contrary Indications?	291
10.3.3	State Practice	297
10.3.4	Subsidiary Means for the Determination of Customary International Law.	303
10.3.5	The Scope of the Customary International Law Norm of Non-refoulement	305
10.4	The Customary Norm of <i>Non-refoulement</i> as <i>Jus Cogens</i>	306
10.5	What Difference Does <i>Jus Cogens</i> Character Make?	310
10.5.1	Non-derogability	310
10.5.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Law of Treaties	312
10.5.2.1	Treaty-based Exceptions to Non-refoulement—Article 33(2) of the Refugee Convention	312
10.5.2.2	Other Treaties	314
10.5.3	State Responsibility	315
10.5.4	Constraining the UN Security Council.	317
10.6	Does a Risk of a <i>Jus Cogens</i> Violation Create an Obligation of Non-refoulement?	319

10.6.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Positive Duties—Hierarchy Without Consequences	320
10.6.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Prohibitions and the Duty of Non-refoulement—A Brief Investigation.	321
10.6.2.1	Return to Face Torture	321
10.6.2.2	Return to Face Genocidal Violence	322
10.7	Conclusions	323
	References	324
11	Improving Compliance: <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Economic Law	329
	Thomas Cottier	
11.1	Introduction	330
11.2	A Close Relationship	334
11.3	International Trade Regulation.	336
11.3.1	The Linkage to Process and Production Methods (PPMs)	336
11.3.2	Protection of Labour Standards	339
11.3.2.1	Core labour Standards and <i>Jus Cogens</i>	339
11.3.2.2	Objections Raised.	340
11.3.2.3	Flanking Policies	341
11.3.3	Protection of Human Rights.	342
11.4	Investment Protection and Corporate Social Responsibility	344
11.4.1	Host States	344
11.4.2	Home States	345
11.5	Financial and Monetary Law	347
11.6	Respect for <i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Matter of Common Concern.	347
11.6.1	Most Fundamental Precepts of International Justice	347
11.6.2	The Emerging Principle of Common Concern.	349
11.6.2.1	In General	349
11.6.2.2	Lessons from International Economic Law.	350
11.6.2.3	Towards an Obligation to Act against Violations of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	351
11.7	Conclusions	353
	References	354
12	<i>Jus Cogens</i> in International Investment Law and Arbitration	357
	Valentina Vadi	
12.1	Introduction	358
12.2	The Interplay Between <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Investment Law in Theory	362
12.2.1	Conflict of Norms.	362
12.2.2	<i>Jura Novit Curia</i>	363
12.2.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Transnational Public Policy	366
12.3	The Interplay Between <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Investment Law in Investment Treaty Arbitration	370

12.3.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Arguments Put Forward by the Investors.	371
12.3.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Arguments Put forward by the Host States.	372
12.3.3	The Interplay between <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Public Order.	377
12.4	Critical Assessment	380
12.5	Conclusions	382
	References	385
 Part II Dutch Practice in International Law		
	‘Dutch Practice in International Law’: An Introductory Note. . . .	391
 13 Immunities of International Organizations Before Domestic Courts: Reflections on the Collective Labour Case Against the European Patent Organization		
	Cedric Ryngaert	393
13.1	Introduction	394
13.2	The Collective Labour Dispute Involving the European Patent Organization Before Dutch Courts	397
13.3	Immunity from Jurisdiction: Some Reflections	398
13.3.1	The ‘Additional Circumstances’ Test	399
13.3.2	The Standard of Manifest Deficiency.	402
13.3.3	The Normative Relationship Between Immunity Agreements and Human Rights	403
13.4	Immunity from Enforcement	404
13.5	Concluding Observations.	406
	References	408
 14 Judicial Review on the Island of Saint Martin: An Example for The Kingdom of the Netherlands?		
	Roel Schutgens and Joost Sillen	409
14.1	Introduction	410
14.2	Animal Fights.	412
14.2.1	Judicial Review Against Fundamental Social Rights. . .	412
14.2.2	Possibility of Judicial Scrutiny of Animal Fight Licenses	415
14.3	Life Imprisonment	416
14.4	Conclusion	419
	References	420
 15 Between Pretence and Practice: The Dutch Response to Recommendations of International Human Rights Bodies.		
	Jasper Krommendijk	421
15.1	Introduction	422
15.2	The Netherlands and International Human Rights Criticism. . .	424

- 15.3 Developments Between October 2013 and October 2015 427
 - 15.3.1 Minimalistic or Non-ratification of UN Treaties and Protocols: OP-ICESCR, CRPD and OPCAT. 428
 - 15.3.2 The Report of the European Commissioner of Human Rights of 2014. 431
 - 15.3.3 Bed, Bath and Bread (BBB). 432
 - 15.3.4 Ethnic Profiling 434
 - 15.3.5 Human Rights Education 436
- 15.4 Conclusion 437
- References 438
- Table of Cases 439**
- Index. 451**

Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 2015

Jus Cogens: Quo Vadis?

den Heijer, M.; van der Wilt, H. (Eds.)

2016, XV, 471 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-113-5

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press