

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	References	10
2	A Critique of Individualistic Approaches to Genocidal Intent	13
2.1	The Traditional Individualistic Understanding of Genocidal Intent: Its Pure Subjectivity	14
2.2	An Overview of the Purpose-Based Approach	18
2.3	An Overview of the Knowledge-Based Approach	22
2.4	Rethinking the Knowledge-Based Approach (I): Some Observations	30
2.4.1	A Hypothetical: An Insomniac Commander	30
2.4.2	Consequence or Context? On Valid Objects of Knowledge	31
2.4.3	Why Principals? A Comparison with Joint Criminal Enterprise and Perpetration by Means	34
2.4.4	Why Knowledge? A Comparison with Aiding and Abetting Genocide	41
2.4.5	Just an Aiding and Abetting Theory? A Wake-Up Call from the Popović et al. Case	48
2.5	Rethinking the Knowledge-Based Approach (II): A Purpose-Based Theory of Individualistic Genocidal Intent	50
2.5.1	‘Special Intent’: A Matter of Intensity/Degree, or of Object?	51
2.5.2	Genocidal Intent as ‘Direct Intent/Purposely’	58
2.6	Complications and Frustrations: Individualistic Genocidal Intent at the Ad Hoc Tribunals	80
2.6.1	The Akayesu Paradox: Applying the Knowledge-Based Theory to the Purpose-Based Concept of Genocidal Intent?	81

2.6.2	Case Law Claiming the Purpose-Based Approach Doctrinally, but Denying It Evidentially?	84
2.6.3	From Individualistic Intent to Collective Intent	89
	References	92
3	Collective Genocide, Contextual Element and Substantiality.	97
3.1	An Overview of the Two-Layered Structure of Genocide: ‘Conduct Level’ Versus ‘Context Level’	98
3.2	Who Are You?: The Hidden Concept of ‘Collective Genocide’ Governing the Case Law	105
3.2.1	A Peculiar Judicial Invention of ‘Collective Genocide’ at the Ad Hoc Tribunals: A Quasi-Element of Genocide? . . .	105
3.2.2	The Reason for the Jelisić Acquittal: The Absence of ‘Collective Genocide’	126
3.3	‘Collective Genocide’ and the Substantiality Requirement	130
3.3.1	Historical Development of the Substantiality Requirement	130
3.3.2	Objectifying ‘in Whole or in Part’?: Contextual Element of Genocide	134
3.3.3	Essence of Genocide? Destruction, Result and Substantiality	139
3.4	‘Collective Genocide’ and Threat	144
3.4.1	Objective Threat Inherent in the Contextual Element	144
3.4.2	‘Concrete Threat’ as Content of ‘Collective Genocide’?: Al Bashir Decision	146
3.4.3	Rethinking the Criticisms of the Al Bashir Decision’s ‘Concrete Threat’	148
3.4.4	Threat Only as Proof, but Not Content of ‘Collective Genocide’	152
3.5	‘Collective Genocide’ and the Degraded Importance of Individualistic Genocidal Intent	162
	References	167
4	Collective Genocidal Intent and Genocide as a Criminal Enterprise.	171
4.1	Unveiling Collective Genocidal Intent	172
4.1.1	Without Collective Genocidal Intent, No Individual Genocidal Intent	172
4.1.2	Inferring My Intent from ‘Acts of Others’?: Collective Genocidal Intent and the Pattern of Conduct	182
4.1.3	“Group as Such”: Importance of Collective Motive/Reason for Targeting	190

4.2	Genocide as a Criminal Enterprise: A Genocide-‘Joint Criminal Enterprise’ Analogy	193
4.2.1	The Inherent Logic of Joint Criminal Enterprise Within Genocide: Parallel Notions of ‘Common Purpose/Plan’ and Collective Genocidal Intent	193
4.2.2	Applying Joint Criminal Enterprise to Genocide: Watering Down Individual Genocidal Intent Through Objectification?	198
4.2.3	The Top-Down Verticality of Genocidal Intent: The JCE Logic Within Genocide Rendering Genocide a Leadership Crime	218
	References	225
5	Conclusion	229
	Index	233



<http://www.springer.com/978-94-6265-122-7>

A Collective Theory of Genocidal Intent

Kim, S.

2016, XI, 235 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-122-7

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press