

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Abstract</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Conceptual Context</b> . . . . .	<b>11</b>
3.1	Local Knowledge . . . . .	11
3.2	Extracting Local Knowledge . . . . .	14
3.3	Public Participation and the Planning Process . . . . .	18
3.3.1	The Transition to the Postmodern Era . . . . .	18
3.3.2	The Transition to Participatory Planning . . . . .	19
3.4	Public Participation and Civil Society . . . . .	22
3.5	Methods of Public Participation . . . . .	25
3.6	Public Participation in Israel . . . . .	30
<b>4</b>	<b>Research Methodology</b> . . . . .	<b>35</b>
4.1	Research Sample . . . . .	35
4.2	Methodology's Conceptual Context . . . . .	37
4.3	Research Activities . . . . .	39
<b>5</b>	<b>Test Case: The Planning Process of Haifa's [Carmel] Range Artery</b> . . . . .	<b>45</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	45
5.2	Collaborative Public Participation . . . . .	47
5.2.1	Haifa's Environmental Traumas . . . . .	47
5.2.2	Oranim ["Pines"] Tower . . . . .	47
5.2.3	The Commercialization of Moriah Boulevard . . . . .	51
5.2.4	Kiryát Sèfer Tower . . . . .	55
5.3	Unilateral Public Participation . . . . .	57
5.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	57
5.3.2	Criteria Prioritization via the Letter . . . . .	57

5.3.3	The SWOT Model . . . . .	59
5.3.4	Alternatives Selection: Choosing Between Planning Alternatives . . . . .	62
5.4	Incorporating Local Knowledge into the City Master Plan . . . .	63
<b>6</b>	<b>Test Case: The Planning Process of Tel Aviv's Shlavim Artery . . .</b>	<b>67</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	67
6.2	Collaborative Public Participation . . . . .	69
6.2.1	South Tel Aviv's Environmental Traumas . . . . .	69
6.2.2	The South Neve Tzedek "Contiguity" Plan . . . . .	70
6.2.3	The Shlavim Artery . . . . .	72
6.3	Unilateral Public Participation . . . . .	78
6.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	78
6.3.2	Criteria Prioritization . . . . .	79
6.3.3	Alternatives Selection: Choosing Between Planning Alternatives . . . . .	80
6.3.4	The SWOT Model . . . . .	83
6.4	Incorporating Local Knowledge into the City Master Plan . . . .	85
<b>7</b>	<b>Evaluation of the Participation Methods' Effectiveness . . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
7.1	The Motivators for Public Participation Processes . . . . .	91
7.2	Public Notification of Public Participation Processes . . . . .	93
7.3	Procedures and Tools in Public Participation Processes . . . . .	99
7.4	Enlistment of Stakeholders . . . . .	105
7.5	The Interaction Among Stakeholders . . . . .	108
7.6	Exposure of Local Knowledge . . . . .	112
7.7	Scope and Depth of Local Knowledge . . . . .	121
7.8	Characteristics of Local Knowledge . . . . .	133
7.9	Processing Local Knowledge and Obtaining Public Participation Deliverables . . . . .	136
7.10	Incorporation of Local Knowledge into Planning Deliverables . . . . .	142
7.11	Outcomes and Conclusions Derived from the Analysis of Findings . . . . .	145
7.11.1	Interrelations Among Criteria of Participatory Processes . . . . .	145
7.11.2	Evaluation Parameters (Evaluation Tool) . . . . .	146
7.11.3	Participatory Procedures Classification . . . . .	148
7.11.4	Superiority of Anthropological Tools in Exposing Local Knowledge . . . . .	152
<b>8</b>	<b>Discussion: Comparison of Methods of Public Participation . . . . .</b>	<b>155</b>
8.1	Public Participation as a Way of Amassing Operative Professional Knowledge . . . . .	155
8.2	Public Participation as a Practice that Precedes and Advances Consensus on Planning Deliverables . . . . .	159

8.3 Public Participation as a Way of Building Social Capital  
and Advancing Sustainability . . . . . 162

8.4 Public Participation as a Means of Strengthening  
Civil Society. . . . . 170

**9 Public Participation: Between Theory and Practice . . . . . 175**

**10 Summary of Outcomes and Conclusions . . . . . 199**

**References . . . . . 209**

Public Participation as a Tool for Integrating Local  
Knowledge into Spatial Planning

Planning, Participation, and Knowledge

Berman, T.

2017, XXIII, 220 p. 18 illus., 8 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-48062-6