

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The Shale Revolution	2
1.2	Traditional Modeling	4
1.3	A Paradigm Shift	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Modeling Production from Shale</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Reservoir Modeling of Shale	9
2.2	System of Natural Fracture Networks	10
2.3	System of Natural Fracture Networks in Shale	13
2.4	A New Hypothesis on Natural Fractures in Shale	14
2.5	Consequences of Shale SNFN	16
2.6	“Hard Data” Versus “Soft Data”	18
2.7	Current State of Reservoir Simulation and Modeling of Shale	19
2.7.1	Decline Curve Analysis	20
2.7.2	Rate Transient Analysis	21
2.8	Explicit Hydraulic Fracture Modeling	22
2.9	Stimulated Reservoir Volume	24
2.10	Microseismic	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Shale Analytics</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Artificial Intelligence	33
3.2	Data Mining	33
3.2.1	Steps Involved in Data Mining	34
3.3	Artificial Neural Networks	35
3.3.1	Structure of a Neural Network	36
3.3.2	Mechanics of Neural Networks Operation	38
3.3.3	Practical Considerations During the Training of a Neural Network	41

3.4	Fuzzy Logic . . . . .	55
3.4.1	Fuzzy Set Theory . . . . .	57
3.4.2	Approximate Reasoning. . . . .	59
3.4.3	Fuzzy Inference. . . . .	60
3.5	Evolutionary Optimization . . . . .	62
3.5.1	Genetic Algorithms . . . . .	63
3.5.2	Mechanism of a Genetic Algorithm. . . . .	64
3.6	Cluster Analysis . . . . .	66
3.7	Fuzzy Cluster Analysis. . . . .	68
3.8	Supervised Fuzzy Cluster Analysis. . . . .	70
3.8.1	Well Quality Analysis (WQA). . . . .	71
3.8.2	Fuzzy Pattern Recognition. . . . .	74
<b>4</b>	<b>Practical Considerations . . . . .</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1	Role of Physics and Geology . . . . .	84
4.2	Correlation is not the Same as Causation . . . . .	84
4.3	Quality Control and Quality Assurance of the Data . . . . .	86
<b>5</b>	<b>Which Parameters Control Production from Shale . . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
5.1	Conventional Wisdom . . . . .	92
5.2	Shale Formation Quality. . . . .	93
5.3	Granularity . . . . .	98
5.4	Impact of Completion and Formation Parameters . . . . .	98
5.4.1	Results of Pattern Recognition Analysis . . . . .	99
5.4.2	Influence of Completion Parameters . . . . .	102
5.4.3	Important Notes on the Results and Discussion. . . . .	106
5.5	Chapter Conclusion and Closing Remarks . . . . .	106
<b>6</b>	<b>Synthetic Geomechanical Logs . . . . .</b>	<b>109</b>
6.1	Geomechanical Properties of Rocks . . . . .	109
6.1.1	Minimum Horizontal Stress. . . . .	110
6.1.2	Shear Modulus . . . . .	110
6.1.3	Bulk Modulus . . . . .	110
6.1.4	Young's Modulus . . . . .	111
6.1.5	Poisson's Ratio . . . . .	112
6.2	Geomechanical Well Logs . . . . .	112
6.3	Synthetic Model Development . . . . .	113
6.3.1	Synthetic Log Development Strategy. . . . .	115
6.3.2	Results of the Synthetic Logs . . . . .	116
6.4	Post-Modeling Analysis . . . . .	124
<b>7</b>	<b>Extending the Utility of Decline Curve Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>127</b>
7.1	Decline Curve Analysis and Its Use in Shale . . . . .	127
7.1.1	Power Law Exponential Decline . . . . .	129
7.1.2	Stretched Exponential Decline. . . . .	130

7.1.3	Doung's Decline . . . . .	130
7.1.4	Tail-End Exponential Decline (TED). . . . .	132
7.2	Comparing Different DCA Techniques . . . . .	134
7.2.1	Is One DCA Technique Better Than the Other? . . . . .	136
7.3	Extending the Utility of Decline Curve Analysis in Shale . . . . .	140
7.3.1	Impact of Different Parameters on DCA Technique . . . . .	140
7.3.2	Conventional Statistical Analysis Versus Shale Analytics . . . . .	142
7.3.3	More Results of Shale Analytics . . . . .	144
7.4	Shale Analytics and Decline Curve Analysis . . . . .	151
<b>8</b>	<b>Shale Production Optimization Technology (SPOT)</b> . . . . .	<b>153</b>
8.1	Dataset . . . . .	153
8.1.1	Production Data . . . . .	153
8.1.2	Hydraulic Fracturing Data . . . . .	154
8.1.3	Reservoir Characteristics Data . . . . .	154
8.2	Complexity of Well/Frac Behavior . . . . .	155
8.3	Well Quality Analysis (WQA) . . . . .	164
8.4	Fuzzy Pattern Recognition . . . . .	175
8.5	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). . . . .	183
8.6	Predictive Modeling . . . . .	197
8.6.1	Training, Calibration, and Validation of the Model . . . . .	197
8.7	Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .	201
8.7.1	Single-Parameter Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .	202
8.7.2	Combinatorial Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .	208
8.8	Generating Type Curves . . . . .	211
8.9	Look-Back Analysis . . . . .	220
8.10	Evaluating Service Companies' Performance . . . . .	224
<b>9</b>	<b>Shale Numerical Simulation and Smart Proxy</b> . . . . .	<b>229</b>
9.1	Numerical Simulation of Production from Shale Wells . . . . .	229
9.1.1	Discrete Natural Fracture Modeling . . . . .	230
9.1.2	Modeling the Induced Fractures . . . . .	231
9.2	Case Study: Marcellus Shale . . . . .	233
9.2.1	Geological (Static) Model . . . . .	233
9.2.2	Dynamic Model . . . . .	234
9.2.3	History Matching . . . . .	235
9.3	Smart Proxy Modeling . . . . .	237
9.3.1	A Short Introduction to Smart Proxy . . . . .	237
9.3.2	Cluster Level Proxy Modeling . . . . .	238
9.3.3	Model Development (Training and Calibration) . . . . .	240
9.3.4	Model Validation (Blind Runs) . . . . .	247
<b>10</b>	<b>Shale Full Field Reservoir Modeling</b> . . . . .	<b>251</b>
10.1	Introduction to Data-Driven Reservoir Modeling (Top-Down Modeling) . . . . .	253

10.2	Data from Marcellus Shale . . . . .	255
10.2.1	Well Construction Data . . . . .	255
10.2.2	Reservoir Characteristics Data . . . . .	256
10.2.3	Completion and Stimulation Data . . . . .	258
10.2.4	Production Data . . . . .	258
10.3	Pre-modeling Data Mining . . . . .	259
10.4	TDM Model Development . . . . .	260
10.4.1	Training and Calibration (History Matching) . . . . .	260
10.4.2	Model Validation . . . . .	262
<b>11</b>	<b>Restimulation (Re-frac) of Shale Wells . . . . .</b>	<b>267</b>
11.1	Re-frac Candidate Selection . . . . .	268
11.2	Re-frac Design . . . . .	272
	<b>Bibliography . . . . .</b>	<b>279</b>

Shale Analytics

Data-Driven Analytics in Unconventional Resources

Mohaghegh, S.D.

2017, XIV, 287 p. 243 illus., 235 illus. in color.,

Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-48751-9