

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Some History About Regulations for Pressure Vessels	6
1.2	Significance of Minimum Burst Ratio Versus Service Strength	11
1.3	Load Cycle Fatigue Sensitivity	12
1.4	Residual Strength	14
1.5	Slow Burst Test (SBT)	15
1.6	Probabilistic Approach (PA)	16
	Literature	17
2	Test Procedures for Hydraulic Sample Testing	19
2.1	General Aspects Regarding Reproducibility of Test Procedures	21
2.1.1	Reproducibility of Cycle Tests	21
2.1.2	Reproducibility of Burs Tests	33
2.2	Influence of Time on Quasi-Static Test Procedures to Rupture	35
2.2.1	Burst Testing of Composite Cylinders	36
2.2.2	Sustained Load Testing of Composite Cylinders	38
2.3	The Slow Burst Test (SBT)	43
2.3.1	Development of the Slow Burst Test	43
2.3.2	Experience with the Slow Burst Test	47
2.4	Detailed Recommendations for a SBT-Procedure	60
2.4.1	Sampling of Test Specimens (Composite Cylinders)	60
2.4.2	Test Parameters	60
2.4.3	Test Procedures	61
2.4.4	SBT-Procedure with Stepwise Pressure Increase	62
	Literature	65

3	Statistical Assessment of Sample Test Results	69
3.1	Introduction of the “BAM-Performance Chart” (SPC)	70
3.1.1	The SPC for Burst Pressure	74
3.1.2	The SPC for Time to Rupture	77
3.1.3	The SPC for Load Cycles to Leakage	78
3.2	Statistical Assessment of Sample Test Results	81
3.2.1	Test Result from Rapid and Slow Burst Testing	82
3.2.2	Test Results from Load Cycle Testing	88
3.3	Determination of the Survival Rate of a Sample (SR)	93
3.3.1	SR Resulting from Burst and Slow Burst Sample Testing	94
3.3.2	SR Resulting from Load Cycle Testing	96
3.4	Transfer of Sample Results on a Population of Composite Cylinders	105
3.4.1	Confidence Level and Confidence Interval	106
3.4.2	Sample Evaluation in the SPC for the Burst Strength (Linear Scale)	119
3.4.3	Sample Evaluation in the SPC for the Load Cycle Strength (Logarithmic Scale)	123
3.5	Aspects of Practical Use	125
3.5.1	Influence of Gas Properties	125
3.5.2	Aspects of the Accidental Loads	132
	Literature	148
4	Strength Degradation and Lifetime Assessment	151
4.1	Aspects of Load Cycle Fatigue Sensitivity	154
4.1.1	Consideration for the Primary Failure of Composite Cylinders	158
4.1.2	The Load Cycle Sensitivity as Criterion for Classification of Design Types	162
4.1.3	Assessment of the Leak-Before-Break Behaviour	165
4.1.4	Strength Properties at “Beginning of Life”	169
4.2	Experience with Artificial Ageing	171
4.2.1	Residual Strength Testing Subsequent to Artificial Ageing (EoL)	172
4.2.2	Artificial Ageing Due to Hydraulic Load Cycles	173
4.2.3	Artificial Ageing Due to Sustained Load at Elevated Temperature	177
4.2.4	Artificial Ageing Due to Load Cycling with Gas	179
4.3	Experience with In-Service Degradation	186
4.3.1	Residual Strength in Terms of Hydraulic Load Cycles	188
4.3.2	Residual Strength in Terms of Burst Pressure	195
4.3.3	Residual Burst Strength of Steel Cylinders	201

4.4	Assessment of Degradation up to the End of Safe Service Life	204
4.4.1	Degradation of the Survival Rate	204
4.4.2	Extrapolation of Test Results Subsequent to Operational Ageing	210
4.4.3	Interpolation of the Test Results from the Artificial Ageing	216
4.5	Assessment of the End of Safe Service Life on the Basis of Artificial Ageing in Combination with Operational Checks	222
4.5.1	Assessment of Design Types at Beginning of Life	222
4.5.2	Operational Studies Based on Tests Parallel to Service.	224
4.6	Production Quality and Its Influence on the Service Life	227
4.6.1	Interpretation of the Degradation.	229
4.6.2	Composite Cylinders Without a Load-Sharing Liner.	231
4.6.3	Composite Cylinders with a Metallic Liner.	232
4.6.4	Detection of Manufacturing Failures by Using NDT.	235
	Literature.	239
5	The Probabilistic Approval Approach (PAA)	243
5.1	The Acceptance of Risk: Consequence and Probability	245
5.1.1	The Balance of Risk and Chance	246
5.1.2	Analysis of Consequences.	255
5.1.3	Aspects of the Task-Related Balance of Chance and Risk	264
5.2	Analysis of Minimum Requirements in Regulations by Monte Carlo Simulation.	277
5.2.1	Comparison of Current Regulations	278
5.2.2	Acceptance Rates of Basic Populations Due to the Burst Requirement	282
5.2.3	Optimisation of Semi-Probabilistic Approval Requirements	293
5.3	Comparison of Probabilistic Approach Versus Deterministic Requirements	299
5.3.1	Statistical Interpretation of Deterministic Requirements	300
5.3.2	Principles for Statistical Evaluation of Minimum Requirements	309
5.3.3	Assessment of Regulations According to the Resulting Reliability	312
5.3.4	The Sample Size in the Determination of (Slow) Burst Strength.	317
5.3.5	The Sample Size in the Determination of Cycle Fatigue Strength.	321

5.4 Potential for the Development of a Probabilistic Approval	
Approach PAA.	329
5.4.1 Weak Points and Uncertainties of the PAA.	330
5.4.2 Cost Saving Effects of the PAA	338
5.4.3 Essentials for Creating a PA-Based Regulation.	340
Literature.	347
Epilogue.	353
Terms and Definitions.	355
Index	367

Safety Assessment of Composite Cylinders for Gas
Storage by Statistical Methods

Potential for Design Optimisation Beyond Limits of
Current Regulations and Standards

Mair, G.W.

2017, XXI, 369 p. 242 illus., 231 illus. in color.,

Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-49708-2