

Contents

1 Scientific Scholarly Communication: Moving Forward Through Open Discussions	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Open and Unrestricted Access to Scientific Information	2
1.2.1 Concerns with Openly Sharing Sensitive Scientific Information	3
1.3 Sharing Scientific Data	3
1.3.1 Privacy and Genetic Data Sharing	5
1.4 Intellectual Property Rights and Scientific Scholarly Communication	6
1.4.1 Impact of IPR on Sharing Data	9
1.5 Measuring Impact of Scientific Research	10
1.6 Concluding Remarks	12
References	13
2 Access to Scientific Knowledge: A Historical Perspective	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Scientific Scholarly Information Sharing: 1600–1900	18
2.3 Scholarly Communication Developments in the Twentieth and Twenty-First Centuries	20
2.4 Journal Subscription Debates	21
2.5 Concluding Remarks	23
References	23
3 On the Road to Unrestricted Access to Scientific Information: The Open Access Movement	25
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Open Access to Scholarly Publications: Legislative and Other Supporting Initiatives	27
3.3 Initiatives by Scholars, Research Funders, and Other “Movers”	29

3.4	Measuring the Impact of OA Journals	30
3.5	OA Influence in the Developing World	32
3.6	OA Publishing Models: Green, Gold, and Other Models	33
3.6.1	Green OA Model.	33
3.6.2	Gold OA Model	34
3.6.3	Other OA Models	36
3.7	Maintaining the Quality and Integrity of OA Journals	36
3.8	Concluding Remarks	37
	References.	37
4	Sharing Scientific Data: Moving Toward “Open Data”	41
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Policy Initiatives Supporting Data Sharing	43
4.3	Involvement of Funding Organizations and Journal Publishers	43
4.4	Data Sharing Habits of Scientists	44
4.5	Data Sharing in Different Scientific Disciplines	45
4.5.1	Sharing Ecological Data	45
4.5.2	Sharing Genomic Data	47
4.6	Data Publication and Data Citation.	49
4.7	Moving Toward “Open Data”?	51
4.8	Concluding Remarks	53
	References.	53
5	Free Flow of Scientific Information Versus Intellectual Property Rights.	57
5.1	Introduction	57
5.2	University–Industry Collaborations or Commercialization of Academic Research?	59
5.2.1	Patenting and Licensing Academic Scientific Discoveries: Government Legislations.	59
5.2.2	IPR and Academic Research: The Debate	59
5.2.3	Negative Effects of Patenting Scientific Research	60
5.2.4	Patent Documents as Source of Scientific Information	60
5.2.5	Delay in Disclosure of Research Findings.	61
5.3	IPR in Life Sciences.	62
5.3.1	IPR and Biomedical Research	62
5.3.2	IPR and Biotechnological Advances in Agriculture.	65
5.4	Concluding Remarks	67
	References.	67

6 Preserving the Quality of Scientific Research: Peer Review of Research Articles	73
6.1 Introduction	73
6.2 History of Peer Review	74
6.3 Criticism of the Peer Review Process	75
6.4 Bias in Peer Review	75
6.4.1 Prestige or Association Bias	76
6.4.2 Gender Bias	76
6.4.3 Confirmation Bias	77
6.4.4 Conservatism	77
6.4.5 Bias Against Interdisciplinary Research	78
6.4.6 Publication Bias	78
6.5 Peer Review and Conflict of Interest	79
6.6 Different Models of Peer Review	81
6.6.1 Closed Peer Review: Single- Versus Double-Blind	81
6.6.2 Open Peer Review	82
6.6.3 “Nonselective” Review	86
6.6.4 Immediate Publication with no Formal Review	87
6.7 Manipulation of the Peer Review Process	87
6.8 Should the Current System of Peer Review Be Continued?	89
6.9 The Peer Review System Is Under Stress	91
6.10 Burden on Peer Reviewers	91
6.11 Ways to Improve the Peer Review System	92
6.11.1 Training Peer Reviewers	93
6.11.2 Ethical Standards for Authors, Reviewers, and Editors	93
6.12 Concluding Remarks	94
References	95
7 Measuring the Impact of Scientific Research	101
7.1 Introduction	101
7.2 Citation Data as a Tool to Measure the Impact of Scientific Scholarly Articles	102
7.3 Impact Factor to Measure Quality of Journals	103
7.3.1 Strengths of Impact Factor in Measuring Journal Quality	104
7.3.2 Limitations of Impact Factor in Measuring Journal Quality	105
7.3.3 Ability to Manipulate Journal Impact Factor	105
7.3.4 Issues with Discipline-Specific Journal Impact Factor Variations	106
7.4 Need for Other Indicators to Measure Journal Quality	107
7.4.1 Eigenfactor Score	107
7.4.2 SCImago Journal Rank	108

7.4.3	Comparing Eigenfactor Score, SCImago Journal Rank, and Journal Impact Factor.	108
7.5	Measuring the Impact of Individual Scientists or Groups of Scientists	109
7.5.1	<i>Hirsch</i> Index (<i>h</i> -Index) and Its Variants	110
7.6	Concluding Remarks	111
	References.	112
8	Assessing the Societal Impact of Scientific Research.	117
8.1	Introduction	117
8.2	Challenges in Defining Societal Benefits	118
8.3	Research Assessment Strategies of Government Agencies in Different Countries	119
8.4	Societal Impact Assessment Indicators	120
8.4.1	Alternative Metrics to Measure Societal Impact	121
8.4.2	Strengths and Limitations of Altmetrics as Scientific Research Assessment Tools.	123
8.4.3	Altmetrics as Discovery Tools.	126
8.4.4	Improving Standards and Credibility of Altmetrics	126
8.4.5	Association Between Altmetrics and Traditional Citation Metrics.	127
8.4.6	Article Readership Counts and Citation Counts.	127
8.4.7	Science Blogging, Microblogging, and Citation Counts.	128
8.5	Concluding Remarks	129
	References.	130
	Final Thoughts	133
	Index	137

Scientific Scholarly Communication

The Changing Landscape

De Silva, P.U.K.; Vance, C.K.

2017, X, 140 p. 2 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-50626-5