

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Rationale	2
1.2.1	Input–Output Analysis	2
1.2.2	Consumption-Based Accounting	3
1.2.3	Rapid Development in MRIO Databases, Coverage and Availability	4
1.2.4	Understanding Difference and Uncertainty	5
1.2.5	The Need for Further Research	6
1.3	Aims and Research Themes	7
1.4	Organisation of This Book	9
	References	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	15
2.1	A Brief Overview of Input–Output Techniques	15
2.1.1	Environmentally-Extended Input–Output Analysis	18
2.1.2	Understanding Trade in Input–Output Analysis	19
2.2	MRIO Construction	25
2.2.1	Data Requirements to Extend IO to Consider Global Trade	26
2.2.2	Preparation of Data for MRIO	27
2.3	Data Sources and Construction of Current MRIO Systems	30
2.3.1	GTAP MRIO	30
2.3.2	WIOD MRIO	33
2.3.3	Eora MRIO	35
2.3.4	Comparing the Source Data, Structure and Construction of Eora, GTAP and WIOD	36

2.4	The Future of MRIO Databases . . . . .	36
2.4.1	EXIOBASE. . . . .	40
2.4.2	OECD ICIO . . . . .	40
2.4.3	Further Considerations. . . . .	41
2.5	Differences in MRIO Outcomes . . . . .	41
2.5.1	Exploring the Effect of Data and Build Choices on MRIO Outcomes. . . . .	41
2.5.2	Calculated Differences in CBA of Eora, GTAP and WIOD . . . . .	44
2.6	Policy Applications, Level of Detail and Uncertainty . . . . .	48
2.6.1	National CBAs . . . . .	48
2.6.2	Identifying the Imported Component of CBA . . . . .	49
2.6.3	Impact by Source Nation and/or Product Destination . . . . .	49
2.6.4	Supply Chain Analysis . . . . .	50
2.7	Matrix Difference Statistics. . . . .	50
2.8	Structural Decomposition Analysis . . . . .	51
2.8.1	Log-Mean Divisia Index . . . . .	54
2.8.2	Shapely-Sun . . . . .	54
2.8.3	Dietzenbacher and Los . . . . .	54
2.8.4	Applications of Structural Decomposition Analysis . . . . .	55
2.9	Structural Path Analysis . . . . .	56
2.10	Structural Path Decomposition . . . . .	57
	References. . . . .	58
<b>3</b>	<b>Methods and Data</b> . . . . .	65
3.1	Input–Output Analysis. . . . .	65
3.1.1	The Leontief Inverse . . . . .	65
3.1.2	Taylor’s Expansion . . . . .	67
3.1.3	Environmentally Extended Input–Output Analysis . . . . .	67
3.2	Matrix Difference Statistics. . . . .	68
3.3	Structural Decomposition Analysis . . . . .	70
3.3.1	Dietzenbacher and Los Method . . . . .	70
3.3.2	Shapley-Sun Method . . . . .	73
3.3.3	Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index Method . . . . .	74
3.4	Structural Path Analysis . . . . .	75
3.4.1	Structural Path Analysis with Supply and Use Formats . . . . .	76
3.4.2	Hybrid SUT and SIOT MRIO Tables . . . . .	78
3.5	Structural Path Decomposition . . . . .	80
3.6	Aggregating to Common Classifications. . . . .	82
3.6.1	The Common Classification System . . . . .	82
3.6.2	Using Concordance Matrices. . . . .	84
3.7	Conversion of Supply and Use Tables to Symmetric IO Tables . . . . .	86

3.7.1	Product-by-product Tables from a SUT (Model B) . . . . .	87
3.7.2	Industry-by-Industry Tables from a SUT (Model D) . . . . .	88
3.7.3	Calculation Procedure . . . . .	88
3.8	Databases and Emissions Extensions Used in This Study. . . . .	90
3.9	Methodological and Data Framework . . . . .	91
	References. . . . .	91
<b>4</b>	<b>Using Matrix Difference Statistics to Compare</b>	
	<b>MRIO Databases</b> . . . . .	93
4.1	Overview . . . . .	93
4.2	Creation of Concordance Matrices . . . . .	94
4.3	A Comparison of Monetary Output Using Original and Aggregated MRIO Databases. . . . .	96
4.3.1	Country Level Results . . . . .	97
4.4	A Comparison of Consumption-Based Emissions Using Original and Aggregated MRIO Databases. . . . .	99
4.4.1	Which Sectors Contribute to the Difference?. . . . .	100
4.4.2	Country Level Consumption-Based Accounts . . . . .	104
4.4.3	Country Level CBA Matrix Difference Results . . . . .	104
4.5	Matrix Comparisons Between Aggregated Matrices . . . . .	107
4.6	A Comparison of the Monetary Data in Different MRIO Databases . . . . .	107
4.6.1	Final Demand . . . . .	108
4.6.2	Inter-industry Transactions . . . . .	111
4.6.3	Domestic and Imports Sections of <b>Z</b> and <b>y</b> . . . . .	112
4.6.4	Total Monetary Output . . . . .	113
4.7	A Comparison of the Emissions Data in Different MRIO Databases . . . . .	115
4.7.1	Emissions by Industry . . . . .	115
4.7.2	Emissions Intensity . . . . .	118
4.7.3	Emissions Multipliers . . . . .	118
4.7.4	Total Emissions. . . . .	119
4.8	Which Database Pairing Is Most Similar?. . . . .	119
4.9	Outcomes . . . . .	122
4.9.1	Aggregated Systems as a Proxy for More Detailed Versions . . . . .	122
4.9.2	Difference Statistics to Aid Error Checking. . . . .	122
4.9.3	Correlation and Distance . . . . .	123
4.9.4	Relating Findings to the Source Data and Build Technique . . . . .	123
4.10	Summary . . . . .	124
	References. . . . .	126

## 5 Using Decomposition Techniques to Determine

<b>Cause of Difference in MRIO Databases</b> . . . . .	127
5.1 Overview . . . . .	127
5.2 Understanding the Effect of Different Source Data . . . . .	128
5.2.1 Structural Decomposition Equations Used . . . . .	128
5.2.2 Consumption-Based Emissions Variation Between MRIO Databases . . . . .	130
5.2.3 Interpreting the Results . . . . .	132
5.3 Understanding the Effect of Different Build Methods . . . . .	140
5.3.1 Difference Equations the Effect of Domestic Versus Imports . . . . .	140
5.3.2 Interpreting the Results . . . . .	141
5.4 Aggregated Databases Used for SPD . . . . .	144
5.5 Structural Path Decomposition Equations Used . . . . .	144
5.6 A Structural Path Analysis—US Case Study . . . . .	146
5.7 Structural Path Decomposition . . . . .	149
5.8 Global Results . . . . .	152
5.8.1 How Often Does a Particular Database Contain the Larger of the Two Paths? . . . . .	152
5.8.2 What Orders of Paths Make up the Top 100 Path Differences? . . . . .	153
5.8.3 What Is the Frequency Distribution by Size of Path Difference? . . . . .	153
5.8.4 Are There Particular Countries that Tend to Produce Large Path Differences? . . . . .	155
5.8.5 Are There Particular Sectors that Tend to Produce Large Path Differences? . . . . .	155
5.8.6 Are There Particular Elements Within the Taylor's Equation that Tend to Be Responsible for Most of the Difference Between Paths? . . . . .	156
5.8.7 What Are the Characteristics of Paths Where the Emissions or the Monetary Data Contribute Most to the Difference? . . . . .	157
5.9 Outcomes . . . . .	159
5.9.1 Building on the Findings of the Matrix Difference Statistics . . . . .	159
5.9.2 Domestic Value Chains . . . . .	159
5.9.3 Sources of Difference from the Emissions Vector . . . . .	160
5.9.4 Sources of Difference from the Monetary Data . . . . .	160
5.9.5 Using Aggregated Data . . . . .	162
5.9.6 SPD as a Tool for Identifying Difference . . . . .	163
5.10 Summary . . . . .	163
References . . . . .	165

<b>6</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	167
6.1	Summary of Findings	167
6.1.1	RT1: Calculating the Difference in the CO <sub>2</sub> CBA	167
6.1.2	RT2: Identifying the Differences in the Data Sources, Database Structures and Construction Techniques Used by Each Database	168
6.1.3	RT3: Investigating the Effect of the Choice of Sector Aggregation on the CO <sub>2</sub> CBA	169
6.1.4	RT4: Determining Whether the Results Produced by Each Database Are Statistically Similar to Each Other	170
6.1.5	RT5: Discovering Why the Different MRIO Databases Give Different Results	170
6.1.6	RT6: Exploring What These Findings Mean for the Future of MRIO Development and Its Use in a Policy Context	172
6.2	Future Development of MRIO Databases	172
6.2.1	Data Sources and Structure	172
6.2.2	Construction Techniques	175
6.2.3	Harmonisation or Specialisation	178
6.3	Future Use of MRIO Outcomes in Policy Analysis	179
6.3.1	Application at Different Scales	179
6.3.2	Choice of Model for Extended Analysis	180
	References	182
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	185
7.1	The Overarching Aim	185
7.2	Contribution to the Knowledge Base	186
7.2.1	Presentation of the Difference in MRIO Database Philosophy and Outcome	186
7.2.2	Development of New Data to Allow Comparisons to be Made	186
7.2.3	Quantification of the Effect of Construction Choices on CBA Differences	186
7.2.4	Development of New Techniques for Calculating and Communicating Difference	187
7.3	Limitations of the Study	188
7.3.1	Limited Data Compared	188
7.3.2	Large Volume of Results	188
7.3.3	Findings Based on Aggregated Data	188
7.3.4	Dependency Effect in SPA and SPD	189
7.4	Future Research	189
7.4.1	Wider Scope	189
7.4.2	Explore Additional Comparison Techniques	190

7.4.3	What Is the Most Suitable Data, Structure and Construction Technique to Produce Outcomes for Climate Policy? . . . . .	190
7.4.4	Collaborative, Open and Flexible Approaches to Compiling MRIO Databases . . . . .	190
7.5	Final Thoughts . . . . .	191
	References. . . . .	191
<b>Erratum to: Using Decomposition Techniques to Determine Cause of Difference in MRIO Databases . . . . .</b>		<b>E1</b>
<b>Erratum to: Techniques for Evaluating the Differences in Multiregional Input-Output Databases . . . . .</b>		<b>E3</b>
<b>Appendix A: Additional Results. . . . .</b>		<b>193</b>

Techniques for Evaluating the Differences in  
Multiregional Input-Output Databases  
A Comparative Evaluation of CO<sub>2</sub> Consumption-Based  
Accounts Calculated Using Eora, GTAP and WIOD  
Owen, A.  
2017, XXII, 217 p. 44 illus. in color., Hardcover  
ISBN: 978-3-319-51555-7