

Contents

Part I Deduction, Induction and Conduction

1	Deduction, Induction and Conduction	3
1.1	The Issue	4
1.2	Fohr's Objections	6
1.3	Weddle's Deductivism	7
1.4	Conduction	14
1.5	Which Standard of Appraisal?	19
	References	20
2	The Linked-Convergent Distinction	21
2.1	Introduction	21
2.2	Convergence: Not Multiplicity of Arguments	23
2.3	The Primary Sphere of the Distinction	25
2.4	Conclusion	28
	References	29
3	Postscript	31
	References	36

Part II Material Consequence

4	Enthymematic Arguments	39
4.1	Deductive Validity in Natural Languages	40
4.2	Distinguishing Enthymemes from <i>Non Sequiturs</i>	43
4.3	The Universal Generalization Thesis	46
4.4	Confirmation of the Universal Generalization Thesis	48
4.5	Qualifications of the Universal Generalization Thesis	50
4.6	Missing Premisses or Rules?	52
4.7	Other Purposes for Identifying Enthymemes' Assumptions	54
4.8	Note	55
	References	55

5	Does the Traditional Treatment of Enthymemes Rest on a Mistake?	57
5.1	Enthymemes	58
5.1.1	Actual Arguments	58
5.1.2	The Traditional Treatment	59
5.1.3	A Terminological Problem	60
5.1.4	Doubts	61
5.1.5	The Mistake	64
5.2	Logical Consequence	64
5.2.1	The Deducibility Conception	64
5.2.2	The Modal Conception	66
5.2.3	The Substitutional Conception	67
5.2.4	The Formal Conception	67
5.2.5	The Model-Theoretic Conception	68
5.3	Revisions	69
5.3.1	Two Problems	69
5.3.2	A Revised Generic Conception of Consequence	71
5.4	Enthymematic Consequence	71
5.4.1	Example	71
5.4.2	Exceptions	72
5.4.3	An Objection	74
5.4.4	Further Questions	76
5.5	Summary	77
	References	77
6	Toulmin's Warrants	81
6.1	Toulmin's Conception	81
6.2	Misconceptions	83
6.2.1	A Warrant Is not a Kind of Premiss	83
6.2.2	A Warrant Is not an Implicit Premiss	84
6.2.3	A Warrant Is not an Ungeneralized Indicative Conditional	86
6.3	Objections	87
6.3.1	Difficulty of Practical Application	87
6.3.2	Occurrence of General Statements as Grounds and of Particular Statements as Warrants	87
6.3.3	Misconstrual of the Function of Generalized Conditionals in Premissary Position	90
6.3.4	Absence of Warrants from Arguments as Products and from Our Conscious Reasoning	92
6.3.5	Difficulty of Assigning Some Warrants to Fields	93
6.4	Summary	93
	References	94

7	Non-logical Consequence	97
7.1	Consequence in Contemporary Philosophy	97
7.2	Tarski's Conception of Consequence	98
7.3	Extending Tarski's Condition F to Non-logical Consequence.	102
7.4	Revision and Expansion of Substitutional, Formal and Model-Theoretic Conceptions of Consequence.	104
7.5	The Problem of Contingent Non-trivial Truth-Preservation.	109
	References.	113
8	Inference Claims	115
8.1	Introduction	115
8.2	Following as Logically Necessary Truth-Preservation: Two Objections.	116
8.3	First Reformulation: Following as Necessary Truth-Transmission	118
8.4	Second Reformulation: Following as Counterfactual-Supporting Truth-Transmission	124
8.5	Elaboration and Extensions of Counterfactual-Supporting Truth-Transmission	127
8.5.1	Restrictions on the Range of the Variables in an Argument's Schema	127
8.5.2	Generalization from Truth to Acceptability	128
8.5.3	Allowance for Conclusions that Are not Assertives	128
8.5.4	Allowance for Rebuttable Inferences	129
8.6	A Sceptical Rejoinder.	131
8.7	Anti-generalist Alternatives.	132
8.7.1	Bermejo-Luque	132
8.7.2	Verheij.	135
8.7.3	Gerlofs.	136
8.7.4	Ennis	139
8.8	Summary	142
	Appendix	143
	References.	144
9	Material Consequence and Counterfactuals	147
9.1	Introduction	147
9.2	Covering Generalizations	148
9.3	Non-triviality	149
9.4	Counterfactuals	151
9.5	Semantics	154
9.6	Summary	159
	References.	160

10	Postscript	161
10.1	“Enthymematic Arguments” (1985)	162
10.2	“Does the Traditional Treatment of Enthymemes Rest on a Mistake?” (1998)	166
10.3	“Toulmin’s Warrants” (2003)	177
10.4	“Non-logical Consequence” (2009).	178
10.5	“Inference Claims” (2011)	179
10.6	“Material Consequence and Counterfactuals” (2013)	182
	References.	184
 Part III Patterns of Reasoning		
11	Validity in Conductive Arguments	189
11.1	Conclusive Validity	189
11.2	Non-conclusive Validity	193
11.3	Conduction	194
11.4	Conclusion	199
	References.	199
12	Reasoning by Analogy: A General Theory	201
12.1	Good Inference	202
12.2	Reasoning by Analogy	204
12.3	Similarity-Based Criteria for Good Analogical Inference	206
12.4	Determination-Based Criteria for Good Analogical Inference.	207
12.5	Criteria for Good a Priori Analogical Inference	211
12.6	Summary and Conclusion	212
	References.	214
13	Pollock on Practical Reasoning	215
13.1	Introduction: The Nature of Practical Reasoning.	215
13.2	The Belief-Desire Model of Practical Reasoning	217
13.3	The Belief-Desire-Intention (BDI) Model of Practical Reasoning.	218
13.4	Pollock’s Belief-Desire-Intention-Liking (BDIL) Model of Practical Reasoning	218
13.5	Strengths of Pollock’s BDIL Model	221
13.6	Weaknesses of Pollock’s BDIL Model	222
13.7	Conclusion	223
	References.	224
14	The Generation of Argument Schemes	225
14.1	Introduction	225
14.2	Bottom-Up Generation	226
14.3	A Bottom-Up Approach: The “Argumentative Schemes” of Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca	228

14.4	An Indirectly Bottom-Up Approach: Via Supposed Fallacies	229
14.5	Top-Down Generation	229
14.6	A Top-Down Approach: Grennan's Combinatorial Generation	231
14.7	A Mixed Approach: Hastings' "Modes of Reasoning"	233
14.8	Choices in the Generation of Argumentation Schemes	234
14.9	Summary and Conclusion	235
	References.	236
15	Instrumental Rationality	237
15.1	Introduction	237
15.2	Selection of the Goal	240
15.3	Consideration of Possible Means	242
15.4	Conclusion	245
	References.	247
16	Appeals to Considerations	249
16.1	Introduction	249
16.2	Some Examples	250
16.3	Definition	251
16.4	Name	258
16.5	Structure	258
16.6	Scope	260
16.7	Evaluation.	261
16.8	Summary	269
16.9	Appendix: Examples of Conductive Reasoning	269
	References.	273
17	"All Things Considered"	277
17.1	Introduction	277
17.2	Kinds of Consideration	278
17.3	Policy Question and Options	279
17.4	Listing Considerations	280
17.5	Evaluating Considerations.	281
17.6	Reframing.	283
17.7	Debiasing	285
17.8	Weighing	286
17.9	Soundness and Completeness	288
17.10	Prospects for Quantification	289
	References.	289
18	Postscript	291
18.1	Argumentation Schemes	292
18.2	Appeals to Relevant Considerations or Criteria.	298

18.3	Reasoning by Analogy	301
18.4	Practical Reasoning.	302
	References.	307

Part IV Interpersonal Discussion

19	Some Principles of Rational Mutual Inquiry.	313
19.1	Prescriptive Dialectical Systems	313
19.2	Mutual Inquiry	315
19.3	Conclusion	320
	References.	321
20	The Practice of Argumentative Discussion	323
20.1	Some Species of Argumentation	324
20.2	The Definition of Argument	325
20.3	The Definition of Argumentation	325
20.4	Apparent Counterexamples	327
20.5	Properties of Argumentative Discussion	329
20.6	The Value and Present Status of Argumentative Discussion.	333
	References.	334
21	Postscript	335
21.1	Introduction	335
21.2	“Some Principles of Rational Mutual Inquiry” (1991)	337
21.3	“The Practice of Argumentative Discussion” (2002).	340
	References.	344

Part V Evaluation of Reasoning

22	Relevance	349
22.1	Relevance in General	350
22.1.1	Its Ontological Status.	350
22.1.2	Its Relation to Irrelevance	351
22.1.3	Is Relevance a Matter of Degree?	351
22.1.4	Two Main Types: Causal and Epistemic	352
22.1.5	Subjective Relevance.	355
22.2	Epistemic Relevance.	355
22.2.1	Ontological Status of Its Terms	355
22.2.2	Reflexivity, Symmetry, Transitivity	357
22.2.3	Definition.	357
22.2.4	In Conversation	359
22.2.5	In Argument: Conclusion Relevance	360
22.2.6	Premiss Relevance.	360
22.3	Fallacies of Relevance	362
22.3.1	Locke’s ‘Arguments ad’	362

22.3.2	Relevant and Irrelevant Emotional Appeals	364
22.3.3	The Consequence Relation	365
22.3.4	The <i>ad Verecundiam</i>	366
22.4	Conclusion	367
	References.	368
23	Good Reasoning on the Toulmin Model	371
23.1	Introduction	371
23.2	Justified Grounds	373
23.2.1	Direct Observation.	373
23.2.2	Written Records of Direct Observation	375
23.2.3	Memory.	376
23.2.4	Personal Testimony	377
23.2.5	Previous Reasoning or Argument	378
23.2.6	Expert Opinion	378
23.2.7	Authoritative Reference Source	379
23.2.8	General Remarks	379
23.3	Adequate Information	379
23.4	Justified Warrant.	381
23.5	Justified in Assuming no Defeaters Apply	383
23.6	Summary and Comparison	385
	References.	386
24	Postscript	389
24.1	“Relevance” (1992).	389
24.2	“Good Reasoning on the Toulmin Model” (2006)	394
	References.	397
 Part VI Fallacies		
25	Do the Fallacies Have a Place in the Teaching of Reasoning Skills or Critical Thinking?	401
25.1	Critical Thinking as an Educational Goal	402
25.2	The Case for Fallacies	403
25.3	The Case Against Fallacies.	406
25.4	Open Questions	407
	References.	408
26	Is There an <i>Argumentum ad Hominem</i> Fallacy?	409
26.1	The Traditional Sense of the <i>ad Hominem</i>	410
26.2	The <i>Tu Quoque</i>	411
26.3	The Abusive <i>ad Hominem</i>	413
26.4	The Circumstantial <i>ad Hominem</i>	416
26.5	Summary	418
	References.	418

27	Postscript	421
27.1	Introduction	421
27.2	The Place of Fallacies in Teaching Critical Thinking	425
27.3	The ad Hominem	430
	References.	431

Part VII Informal Logic and Critical Thinking

28	The Significance of Informal Logic for Philosophy	437
28.1	The Concept of Argument	438
28.1.1	Arguing as a Speech Act	439
28.1.2	The Function(s) of Argument	439
28.1.3	The Macrostructure of Arguments	440
28.1.4	Argument as Dialogical Exchange	441
28.2	The Evaluation of Arguments	441
28.2.1	The Rejection of Soundness	442
28.2.2	A Functional Approach	442
28.2.3	Acceptability, Relevance, Sufficiency	443
28.2.4	Argument Schemata.	444
28.2.5	The Fallacies Approach	444
28.3	Conclusion	445
	References.	445
29	Informal Logic and the Concept of Argument	447
29.1	Introduction	447
29.2	Technical and Everyday Senses of 'Argument'	448
29.3	Argument as Discourse Supporting a Point of View by Offering One or More Reasons	449
29.4	Arguments as Invitations to Inference	452
29.5	Extensions: Potential Arguments and Equivalence Classes of Arguments	453
29.6	Complex Direct Arguments	455
29.7	Suppositional Arguments	456
29.8	First Summary	461
29.9	Competing Conceptions of Argument.	462
29.10	Exclusions from the Class of Arguments	466
29.11	Second Summary	467
29.12	Other Issues in Informal Logic	467
29.12.1	On Argument Identification	467
29.12.2	On Argument Analysis	468
29.12.3	On Argument Evaluation	470
29.12.4	On Argument Criticism	472
29.12.5	On Argument Construction	473
	References.	473

30	Critical Thinking as an Educational Ideal	477
30.1	Historical Development of the Concept of Critical Thinking	478
30.1.1	John Dewey	478
30.1.2	Edward Glaser	478
30.1.3	1940s Through 1960s	479
30.1.4	1970s and 1980s	479
30.1.5	The 1990 Statement of Expert Consensus	480
30.1.6	Fisher and Scriven	481
30.2	The Definition of Critical Thinking	481
30.2.1	Commonalities and Differences Among Rival Definitions	481
30.2.2	Component Skills and Attitudes	482
30.2.3	Assessment: Criteria and Standards	483
30.2.4	Relation to the Logical Analysis of Arguments	483
30.2.5	The Process of Thinking Critically	484
30.3	Critical Thinking as an Educational Ideal	488
30.3.1	The Case for Educating Students to Think Critically	488
30.3.2	Ways of Developing Critical Thinking	489
30.3.3	Teaching Critical Thinking in a Stand-Alone Course: Principles of Design	490
30.3.4	Resources on the Web	495
30.4	Summary	495
	References	496
31	The Effectiveness of Instruction in Critical Thinking	499
31.1	Measuring Instructional Effectiveness	500
31.2	Effectiveness of Computer-Assisted Instruction in Critical Thinking	502
31.3	Comparative Effectiveness of Different Methods	506
31.4	Summary	508
	References	509
32	Postscript	511
32.1	“The Significance of Informal Logic for Philosophy” (2000)	512
32.2	The Concept of Argument	518
32.3	“Critical Thinking as an Educational Ideal” (2012)	529
32.4	“The Effectiveness of Instruction in Critical Thinking” (2015)	531
	References	533

Erratum to: On Reasoning and Argument	E1
David Hitchcock	
Index	537

On Reasoning and Argument

Essays in Informal Logic and on Critical Thinking

Hitchcock, D.

2017, XXVII, 553 p. 7 illus., 6 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-53561-6