

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Inter-generational Argumentation: Children's Account Work During Dinner Conversations in Italy and Sweden.</b>	<b>1</b>
	Franco Pauletto, Karin Aronsson and Francesco Arcidiacono	
1.1	Accounts and Family Inter-generational Argumentation	2
1.2	Data	4
1.3	Laments and Plaintive/Pleading Voice as Account Work: Preschool-Age Children's Proto-Accounts	5
1.4	Repeats and <i>Want</i> -Statements as Account Work: Preschool-Age Children's Proto-Accounts	8
1.5	Varied Verbal Accounts by School-Age Children.	12
1.6	Irony as Argument	17
1.7	Prior Contracts as Arguments: School-Age Children	20
1.8	Language Socialization and Intergenerational Argumentation	22
	Appendix: Transcription Key	23
	References.	24
<b>2</b>	<b>What Can Studying Designed Marital Argument Interventions Contribute to Argumentation Scholarship?</b>	<b>27</b>
	Harry Weger Jr.	
2.1	History of Marital Intervention	28
2.2	Marriage Education.	29
2.3	The PAIRS Approach.	29
2.3.1	Fair Fight for Change	30
2.3.2	Talking Tips	31
2.3.3	Daily Temperature Reading.	32
2.3.4	Initiation and Conclusion of PAIRS Communication Tools	32

2.4	The PREP Approach. . . . .	33
2.4.1	Speaker–Listener Technique . . . . .	34
2.4.2	Problem Solving Discussion Structure. . . . .	36
2.4.3	Friendship and Commitment . . . . .	38
2.5	Evaluating Designed Marital Argumentation Interventions . . . .	38
2.5.1	Design Hypotheses 1: Marital Interaction is a Designable Activity . . . . .	38
2.5.2	Design Hypothesis 2: Marital Argumentation Involves Multiple Goals that are Best Managed Individually Rather Than Simultaneously . . . . .	39
2.5.3	Design Hypothesis 3: “Good” Arguments Results in a Resolution of the Problem Without Damaging the Relationship. . . . .	41
2.6	Conclusion . . . . .	43
	References. . . . .	43
<b>3</b>	<b>Reading Together: The Interplay Between Social and Cognitive Aspects in Argumentative and Non-argumentative Dialogues . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
	Nevena Buđevac, Francesco Arcidiacono and Aleksandar Baucal	
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	47
3.2	Learning Through Social Interaction Among Peers. . . . .	48
3.3	The Interweaving of Social and Cognitive Aspects in Regulating Joint Activities of Solving Tasks . . . . .	50
3.4	Towards an Understanding of the Impact of Regulating Social Relations on Joint Thinking. . . . .	51
3.5	Methodology. . . . .	52
3.5.1	Sample . . . . .	52
3.5.2	Data Corpus . . . . .	53
3.5.3	Transcription Procedures . . . . .	53
3.5.4	Analytical Approach . . . . .	54
3.6	Analysis and Results. . . . .	54
3.6.1	Excerpts for the Analysis. . . . .	54
3.7	Discussion and Conclusion. . . . .	67
	Appendix 1: Transcription Symbols . . . . .	69
	References. . . . .	69
<b>4</b>	<b>The Role of the Teacher in Promoting Argumentative Interactions in the Learning Contexts of Higher Education. . . . .</b>	<b>75</b>
	Antonio Bova	
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	75
4.2	Argumentation Studies in Learning Contexts of Higher Education . . . . .	76
4.3	Methodology. . . . .	78
4.3.1	Data Corpus . . . . .	78

4.3.2	Students' Level of Knowledge of the Discipline . . . . .	78
4.3.3	Data Collection and Transcription Procedures . . . . .	78
4.3.4	Ethical Issues. . . . .	79
4.3.5	Analytical Approach . . . . .	80
4.3.6	Selection of Argumentative Discussions . . . . .	80
4.3.7	Identification of the Types of Questions . . . . .	81
4.4	Results . . . . .	81
4.4.1	Teacher's BROAD QUESTIONS . . . . .	82
4.4.2	Teacher's SPECIFIC QUESTIONS. . . . .	83
4.5	Discussion . . . . .	85
	Appendix 1: Teacher–Student Dialogue in the Academic	
	Context Questionnaire . . . . .	88
	Appendix 2. . . . .	89
	Appendix 3: Teacher–Student Dialogue in the Academic	
	Context. . . . .	90
	References. . . . .	90
<b>5</b>	<b>The Epidemic Effect of Scaffolding Argumentation in Small</b>	
	<b>Groups to Whole-Class Teacher-Led Argumentation. . . . .</b>	<b>95</b>
	Baruch B. Schwarz, Irit Cohen and Yaakov Ophir	
5.1	Theoretical Background . . . . .	95
5.2	Pilot Research. . . . .	97
5.3	Description of the Research . . . . .	99
5.4	Population. . . . .	100
5.5	Methodology. . . . .	101
5.5.1	The Program of Intervention . . . . .	101
5.5.2	The First Round (Teachers 1 and 2) . . . . .	101
5.5.3	The Second Round (Teachers 3 and 4) . . . . .	102
5.5.4	The Questionnaire . . . . .	103
5.5.5	Interviews of Students. . . . .	105
5.6	Collection and Analysis of Data. . . . .	105
5.7	Findings for the First Research Question	
	(Improvement of Guided Small-Group Discussions). . . . .	106
5.7.1	Active Participation During the Discussion . . . . .	106
5.7.2	Characteristics of the Talk. . . . .	107
5.7.3	Pragmatics and Meta-Pragmatics in Students'	
	Discussions . . . . .	108
5.7.4	Transformation of Ideas and Elaboration of	
	Arguments in Discussions . . . . .	108
5.7.5	Teachers' Structuring of the Discussions. . . . .	110
5.8	Findings for the Second Research Question	
	(Improvement of Guided Whole-Class Discussions). . . . .	111
5.9	Conclusions . . . . .	112
	References. . . . .	114

<b>6</b>	<b>Processes of Negotiation in Socio-scientific Argumentation About Vegetarianism in Teacher Education</b> . . . . .	117
	María Pilar Jiménez-Aleixandre and Pablo Brocos	
6.1	Introduction: Two Perspectives on Studying Argumentation in Science Education . . . . .	117
6.2	Rationale: Argumentative Interactions as Negotiation Processes . . . . .	119
6.2.1	Argumentation. . . . .	119
6.2.2	Negotiation Processes . . . . .	120
6.3	Research Methodology . . . . .	121
6.3.1	Participants, Educational Context, and Data Collection . . . . .	122
6.3.2	The Task: Constructing Arguments About Diets . . . . .	122
6.3.3	Data Analysis . . . . .	123
6.4	Questions Negotiated, Weight of Evidence and Cultural Values . . . . .	123
6.5	Negotiation Paths and Mutual Appropriation of Interlocutors' Positions. . . . .	129
6.6	Concluding Remarks. . . . .	135
	Annex 1. Task Handout: Building an Argument About Diets . . . . .	137
	References. . . . .	138
<b>7</b>	<b>Argumentation and Conflict Management in Online Epistemic Communities: A Narrative Approach to Wikipedia Debates</b> . . . . .	141
	Michael J. Baker, Françoise Détienne and Flore Barcellini	
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	141
7.2	Background . . . . .	143
7.2.1	Rules for Editing, Conflicts and Emerging Debates in Wikipedia . . . . .	144
7.2.2	Aspects of Conflict Management in Argumentative Discussions . . . . .	146
7.3	A Narrative Study. . . . .	147
7.4	Case-Study Analysis: "the Turin Shroud". . . . .	148
7.5	Teb's Narrative (Synthesis). . . . .	148
7.5.1	The Turin Shroud: Summary of Argumentation and Conflict Management Strategies . . . . .	152
7.6	Concluding Discussion . . . . .	154
	References. . . . .	156
<b>8</b>	<b>The Interplay of Argumentative Dialogues and Work Observations in Collective Reflection for Work Transformation. Cross Self-confrontations in a Public Health Institution.</b> . . . . .	159
	Laure Kloetzer	
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	159

8.2	Dialogue as a Way to Articulate Collective Reflection and Work Transformation . . . . .	160
8.3	Context and Goals of the Research Project . . . . .	161
8.4	Research Methodology . . . . .	163
8.5	The Interplay of Work Observations and Argumentative Dialogues for the Development of Professional Reflection: The “Lunch Discussion” . . . . .	166
8.6	Conclusion: The Research Process as a «True Dialogue», Opening Spaces for Identification and Resolution of Conflictual Perspectives on Work Objects . . . . .	175
	Annex: French Original Version of the Selected Sequence . . . . .	177
	References . . . . .	180
<b>9</b>	<b>Imaginary Scenarios as Resources to Argue for Treatment Advice in Cancer Consultations . . . . .</b>	<b>183</b>
	Francesca Alby, Marilena Fatigante and Cristina Zuccheromaglio	
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	183
9.2	Research Method, Data Corpus, and Analysis Procedures . . . . .	185
9.3	Analysis . . . . .	185
	9.3.1 Imaginary Debate . . . . .	185
	9.3.2 Simplified Representations . . . . .	188
	9.3.3 Hypothetical Scenarios . . . . .	191
9.4	Conclusions . . . . .	192
	References . . . . .	194
<b>10</b>	<b>Notes on Similarities and Differences in Studying Argumentation: A Synthetic View . . . . .</b>	<b>197</b>
	Antonio Bova and Francesco Arcidiacono	
<b>11</b>	<b>Argumentation in Dialogue: Final Conclusions . . . . .</b>	<b>201</b>
	Antonio Iannaccone and Francesco Arcidiacono	
11.1	<i>The Dialogue</i> as a Source of Inspiration . . . . .	203
11.2	Around Two Epistemologies . . . . .	205
	11.2.1 Formal Versus Informal . . . . .	206
	11.2.2 Monologic Versus Dialogic . . . . .	207
	11.2.3 Decontextualized Versus Contextualized . . . . .	208
	11.2.4 Symmetric Versus Asymmetric . . . . .	210
	11.2.5 Temporal Perception Versus A-Temporal Perception . . . . .	211
	11.2.6 Consciousness Versus Exteriorization . . . . .	214
11.3	Towards a Pedagogical Conclusion . . . . .	215
	References . . . . .	216
	<b>Author Index . . . . .</b>	<b>219</b>
	<b>Subject Index . . . . .</b>	<b>221</b>

Interpersonal Argumentation in Educational and  
Professional Contexts

Arcidiacono, F.; Bova, A. (Eds.)

2017, XXII, 223 p. 9 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-59083-7