

# Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	v
<b>Contents</b> .....	vii
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	xiii
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1 Purpose of the Study .....	1
1.2 The Contract of Carriage of Goods by Sea .....	2
1.2.1 Concept .....	2
1.2.2 The Parties Involved .....	3
1.2.2.1 The Shipper .....	3
1.2.2.2 The Carrier .....	3
1.2.2.2.1 Private Carrier .....	4
1.2.2.2.2 Common Carrier .....	5
1.2.3 Respective Obligations .....	6
1.2.3.1 On the Carrier .....	6
1.2.3.1.1 To Provide a Seaworthy Ship .....	7
1.2.3.1.2 Reasonable Dispatch .....	7
1.2.3.1.3 Not to Deviate from the Agreed Route .....	8
1.2.3.2 On the Shipper or Charterer .....	11
1.2.3.2.1 Not to Ship Dangerous Goods .....	11
1.2.3.2.2 To Nominate a Safe Port .....	12
<b>2 The Origin of the Obligation of Practicing Due Diligence in Maritime Transportation</b> .....	15
2.1 The Evolution of the Standard of Carrier's Liability in Maritime Transportation .....	15
2.1.1 Introduction .....	15

2.1.1.1	The Shift of the Standard of Liability . . . . .	15
2.1.1.2	The Historical Maritime Law . . . . .	16
2.1.2	Historical Sources of Maritime Law . . . . .	21
2.1.2.1	Hammurabi's Code of Laws . . . . .	21
2.1.2.2	The Roman Law . . . . .	22
2.1.2.2.1	Historical Context . . . . .	22
2.1.2.2.2	The Concept of Diligence . . . . .	23
2.1.2.2.3	Standard of Care for Sea Common Carriers . . . . .	26
2.1.2.3	The Sea Law of Rhodes . . . . .	33
2.1.2.3.1	Historical Context . . . . .	33
2.1.2.3.2	The Standard of Carrier's Liability . . . . .	34
2.1.2.4	The Rules of Oleron . . . . .	37
2.1.2.4.1	Historical Context . . . . .	37
2.1.2.4.2	The Standard of Liability . . . . .	38
2.1.2.5	The Consulate of the Sea . . . . .	40
2.1.2.5.1	Historical Context . . . . .	40
2.1.2.5.2	The Standard of Liability . . . . .	41
2.1.3	Anglo-American Maritime Law . . . . .	44
2.1.3.1	The Maritime Law of England . . . . .	44
2.1.3.1.1	The Influence of Roman Law on the Admiralty Courts . . . . .	44
2.1.3.1.2	The Sea Carrier as a Bailee . . . . .	46
2.1.3.1.3	The Distinction of Common Carrier . . . . .	48
2.1.3.1.4	The Common Sea Carrier as an Insurer of the Cargo . . . . .	51
2.1.3.1.5	Additional Reasons for the Absolute Standards . . . . .	53
2.1.3.1.6	The Decline of the Absolute Standard . . . . .	57
2.1.3.2	International Attempts for Regulation and Unification . . . . .	61
2.1.3.2.1	The Liverpool Conference of 1882 . . . . .	61
2.1.3.2.2	The Hamburg Conference of 1885 . . . . .	63
2.1.3.2.3	Results of These Conferences . . . . .	64
2.1.3.3	The Maritime Law of the United States of America . . . . .	64
2.1.3.3.1	The Standard of Liability for Sea Carriers . . . . .	64
2.1.3.3.2	Restrictions to the Principle of Freedom of Contract . . . . .	66

2.1.3.3.3	The Enactment of the Harter Act of 1893 . . . . .	69
2.1.4	International Regulations for the Carriage of Goods by Sea . . . . .	73
2.1.4.1	The Hague Rules—1924 . . . . .	73
2.1.4.1.1	History of Its Adoption . . . . .	73
2.1.4.1.2	Scope of Application . . . . .	76
2.1.4.1.3	The Standard of Liability . . . . .	77
2.1.4.1.4	Consequences . . . . .	79
2.1.4.1.5	Amendments . . . . .	84
2.1.4.2	The Hamburg Rules—1978 . . . . .	86
2.1.4.2.1	Adoption and Generalities . . . . .	86
2.1.4.2.2	Scope of Application . . . . .	88
2.1.4.2.3	Period of Responsibility . . . . .	88
2.1.4.2.4	Liability Rule . . . . .	89
2.1.4.2.5	Objections . . . . .	91
2.1.4.3	The Rotterdam Rules—2009 . . . . .	92
2.1.4.3.1	Adoption and Generalities . . . . .	92
2.1.4.3.2	Period of Responsibility . . . . .	93
2.1.4.3.3	Liability Rules . . . . .	94
2.2	Conclusion . . . . .	96
<b>3</b>	<b>The Obligation of Practicing Due Diligence in the Carriage of Goods by Sea . . . . .</b>	<b>101</b>
3.1	Statutory Frame of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Under Bill of Lading . . . . .	101
3.1.1	The Incorporation of the Hague Rules into the National Laws . . . . .	101
3.1.1.1	English Law . . . . .	103
3.1.1.1.1	The Carriage of Goods by Sea Act of 1924 . . . . .	103
3.1.1.1.2	The Carriage of Goods by Sea Act of 1971 . . . . .	104
3.1.1.2	American Law . . . . .	105
3.1.1.2.1	The Carriage of Goods by Sea Act of 1936 . . . . .	105
3.1.1.3	German Law . . . . .	108
3.2	The Carriers Duties Under the Hague/Hague-Visby Rules . . . . .	111
3.2.1	To Practice Due Diligence . . . . .	111
3.2.1.1	Definition of Due Diligence . . . . .	111
3.2.1.2	Characteristics of the Obligation . . . . .	113
3.2.1.2.1	Due or Reasonable . . . . .	113
3.2.1.2.2	Diligence or Care . . . . .	115
3.2.1.2.3	The Degree of Diligence . . . . .	116

3.2.2	The Ship's Seaworthiness . . . . .	118
3.2.2.1	Definition of Seaworthiness . . . . .	118
3.2.2.1.1	Conceptualization for the Maritime Insurance Contract . . . . .	118
3.2.2.1.2	Conceptualization for the Carriage of Goods by Sea . . . . .	118
3.2.2.2	Legal Aspects . . . . .	120
3.2.2.2.1	An Overriding Obligation . . . . .	120
3.2.2.2.2	Not Delegable . . . . .	121
3.2.2.2.3	Liability Depends on Direct Control of the Vessel . . . . .	123
3.2.2.3	Technical Aspects . . . . .	124
3.2.2.3.1	Objective Aspect . . . . .	124
3.2.2.3.2	Relative Aspect . . . . .	125
3.2.2.3.3	Evolution . . . . .	128
3.2.2.3.4	Foreseeability . . . . .	129
3.2.2.3.5	Fragmentation . . . . .	130
3.2.2.4	When Must Due Diligence Be Practiced? . . . . .	144
3.2.2.4.1	Before and at the Beginning of the Voyage . . . . .	144
3.2.2.4.2	No Doctrine of the Stages Under HR . . . . .	146
3.2.2.5	How to Practice Due Diligence to Make the Ship Seaworthy? . . . . .	147
3.2.2.5.1	The Previous Standard . . . . .	147
3.2.2.5.2	The Current Standard . . . . .	148
3.2.2.5.3	Type of Defects . . . . .	150
3.2.2.5.4	Professional Inspections . . . . .	151
3.2.2.5.5	The Presumption of Unseaworthiness . . . . .	152
3.2.3	The Care of the Cargo . . . . .	153
3.2.3.1	Precedents Under the Harter Act . . . . .	154
3.2.3.2	The Obligation Under the Hague/Hague-Visby Rules . . . . .	156
3.2.3.2.1	Not an Overriding Obligation . . . . .	156
3.2.3.2.2	Period of Time of the Obligation . . . . .	156
3.2.3.2.3	Meaning of the Words "Properly and Carefully" . . . . .	156
3.2.3.2.4	Load, Handle, Stow, Carry, Keep, Care for and Discharge the Goods . . . . .	158
3.3	Conclusion . . . . .	160

<b>4 The New Technologies Applied in Maritime Transportation . . . . .</b>	<b>163</b>
4.1 The Technological Era . . . . .	163
4.1.1 The Development of Shipping Technology . . . . .	163
4.1.2 The Development of Containerization . . . . .	165
4.1.3 New Risks in Maritime Navigation . . . . .	167
4.2 The Application of New Technologies in the Carriage of Goods by Sea . . . . .	168
4.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	168
4.2.2 The Evolving Nature of the Seaworthiness Condition . . . . .	169
4.2.2.1 The Condition of the Vessel and its Equipment . . . . .	169
4.2.2.2 The Technical Knowledge of the Crew . . . . .	172
4.2.3 The Classification of Technologies Used in Maritime Transportation . . . . .	174
4.2.3.1 Technologies Applied Unilaterally by Carriers . . . . .	175
4.2.3.1.1 Radio Communications . . . . .	176
4.2.3.1.2 The Compass . . . . .	180
4.2.3.1.3 Navigation Charts . . . . .	183
4.2.3.1.4 The Radar . . . . .	184
4.2.3.1.5 Newer and Safer Methods . . . . .	192
4.2.3.1.6 Other Equipment . . . . .	195
4.2.3.2 Technologies Contractually Agreed . . . . .	196
4.2.3.2.1 Refrigeration Systems . . . . .	197
4.2.3.2.2 Controlled Atmosphere Containers . . . . .	200
4.2.3.3 Technologies Ordered by Statutes, International Regulations and Standards of Classification Societies . . . . .	201
4.3 Carriers Liability for Lack of Application of New Technologies . . . . .	213
4.3.1 Introduction . . . . .	213
4.3.2 The Cost Efficiency of New Technologies . . . . .	215
4.3.2.1 The Consequences of the Minimum Liability Set in the H/H-VR . . . . .	215
4.3.2.2 The Cost of Taking Precautions . . . . .	218
4.4 Conclusion . . . . .	220
<b>Summary of the Study . . . . .</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>List of Cases Cited and Reported . . . . .</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>References . . . . .</b>	<b>241</b>



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-66001-1>

The Due Diligence in Maritime Transportation in the  
Technological Era

Chacón, V.H.

2017, XV, 245 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-66001-1