

# A New Generation of Students: Digital Media in Academic Contexts

Daniel B. le Roux and Douglas A. Parry<sup>(✉)</sup>

Department of Information Science, Stellenbosch University,  
Stellenbosch, South Africa  
dougaparry@sun.ac.za

**Abstract.** The growing presence of digital media in the lives of university students signals a change in how use of such media in educational contexts should be viewed. Institutional focus on technologically mediated education and the promotion of blended learning initiatives further serve to encourage media use in academic settings. Scant attention has been afforded to the potential negative consequences arising from heightened media engagement. This is especially the case in areas of study where technological artifacts are often the medium and the subject of interest, for instance the computer and information sciences. In this study a survey was done to investigate students' use of media, as well as the behavioural beliefs, norms and motivators surrounding such use.

**Keywords:** Media multitasking · Beliefs · Norms · New media · Students · Behaviour

## 1 Introduction and Research Questions

With the growing 'hype' surrounding *Blended Learning* and the potential benefits of digital technology in tertiary institutions, the prospects of negative implications associated with the use of personal digital media in educational settings are seldom considered. We regard this position to be particularly tenuous in light of the growing body of knowledge suggesting the *potential for negative consequences associated with digital media use in academic settings* [5, 11, 17, 20, 28, 31]. Mobile digital media devices such as laptops, tablets and smartphones have become ubiquitous on today's university campuses [9]. The ubiquity of media, as well as the characteristics inherent in modern digital media have contributed to the growing prevalence of continuous media use among the current generation of university students. In describing members of this generation, which includes current university students, as the 'net generation' [29] or the 'digital natives' [26], the significant role media plays in shaping their lives is further highlighted. Increasingly, students are engaging in media multitasking behaviour, rapidly switching between numerous ongoing activities. Such behaviour has been shown to *reduce the ability to pay attention to individual*

tasks [13,16]. These outcomes suggest that media multitasking implies cognitive costs, impeding the processing and encoding of information into long term memory—key functions necessary for learning to take place [16]. Studies in this area indicate that there exists a negative correlation between media multitasking frequency in academic contexts and academic performance [31]. Owing to the ubiquity of extensive media use and media multitasking behaviour amongst contemporary student populations, the development of a deeper understanding of the beliefs, norms, motivations and nature of students' media use is of substantial importance.

In addition to the ubiquity and presence of media in students' lives, the increased institutional focus on technologically mediated education and the continued promotion of blended learning and e-learning initiatives imply that the opportunities for students to use media whilst in academically focused situations are greater now than ever before. Blended learning typically involves the integration of physical, face-to-face, lecture-based learning opportunities with online learning experiences [14]. Through blended learning, digital media use in academic settings is not specifically discouraged, rather, it is explicitly encouraged. This is especially noticeable with the structural interventions in many academic institutions such as Wifi access points in lecture halls and the increasing prominence of e-learning environments. Furthermore, the use of digital media technologies is particularly commonplace in areas of study where technological artifacts are often the medium *and* the subject of interest, for instance the computer and information sciences. Within these academic subjects students typically conduct assignments and projects on devices such as desktop computers, laptops, tablets and even smartphones.

University students are characterised as being members of the 'net generation' [29], a cohort displaying an unprecedented propensity for engaging and interacting with digital media, both throughout their everyday lives, as well as in the course of their academic experiences [8,17]. Exemplifying this line of reasoning, a recent EDUCAUSE Center for Applied Research (ECAR) study (with  $\approx 50,000$  respondents in 11 countries at 161 universities) indicates that 98% of the students possess a mobile computing device (laptop, smartphone or tablet), capable of Internet connection [9]. While one may reason that these statistics are reflective of the students in developed economies, a study of mobile phone use by South African university students [24] found that only 1% did not own a mobile phone, or had not owned one recently. Similarly, an earlier study of low-income South African students shows extensive use of mobile devices [19].

In addition to extensive engagement with media in their general lives, many studies show that media use within academic settings has become increasingly common [6,13,15,20,28]. This is both the case in structured as well as self-regulated academic contexts. For the purpose of this study a 'structured academic context' is defined as a *classroom based environment within which students observe and record material provided by a facilitator*. Correspondingly, a 'self-regulated academic context' is defined as *a student or group of students under-*

*taking academic work without direct supervision by a facilitator, either within a personal or public study environment.*

From the aforementioned definitions it is clear that structured and self-regulated academic environments are distinguished by different physical properties as well as distinct social, and behavioural norms. In a structured academic context the presence of a facilitator is the key element distinguishing such an environment. The facilitator is responsible for presenting material to the students. In addition to this, the facilitator regulates the behaviour of the students within this context through the establishment and maintenance of order [2]. However, students' behaviour within this environment is not only a function of the facilitator, but is also modulated by the behavioural and social norms established by their peers [3]. While structured lecture contexts might constitute the primary academic environment experienced by students, students spend a far larger amount of their time engaged in informal, self-regulated study environments outside of scheduled class times [21]. These environments are defined as being self-regulated, because the behaviour exhibited within them is not dependent on external rules imposed by a facilitator. For the most part, the nature of these environments is determined by the individual's personal choices [32].

We believe that academic staff in technical domains such as Informatics, Computer Science and Information Science have an important role to play in shaping the outcomes of blended learning initiatives at universities. It is our perception that staff with limited knowledge of the inner-workings of computer-based systems often operate under naïve assumptions of the affordances of digital technologies for learning, leading to misguided application efforts. While we acknowledge the potential of digital media in learning, we argue for a *balanced perspective which acknowledges both the positive and negative consequences of digital media use* in academic contexts.

In this study we investigate data collected through a survey (with  $n = 1,678$ ) conducted at a large, public South African university. The survey concerns, primarily, respondents' online media use in general and in structured academic settings and, in addition, considers the beliefs, norms and motivators surrounding media use. Specifically, our study aims to answer these questions:

1. *Which media do students generally use and how frequently do they use them?*
2. *Which media do students use in structured academic contexts and how frequently do they use them?*
3. *What beliefs do students hold in relation to their media use in structured academic contexts?*
4. *What triggers media use in structured academic contexts?*
5. *Does media use in structured academic contexts influence academic performance?*

We discuss the implications of our findings for teaching and learning at tertiary educational institutions within South Africa, whereby specific attention is given to the implications of our findings for the teaching of computer and information sciences.

## 2 Literature

### 2.1 Students' Digital Media Use

Over the preceding decade a number of studies have focused on the growing prevalence of students' media use [4, 5, 13, 16–18, 20]. These studies reveal that media use is commonplace for students whether they are engaged in academic study (structured or self-regulated), or in non-academic activities.

A study focusing on the variety and frequency of media used by students [30] classifies students' media use into nine distinct categories. Of these nine categories, the two categories referred to by [30] as 'rapid communication technology' and 'web resources' were used most frequently by a majority of the students surveyed. Combined, these categories include activities such as: calling or texting on a mobile phone, using social networking sites, watching online video, and web-searching.

Using an experience sampling method, [23] shows a real-time examination of students' Internet behaviour and reveals that on average students spent 56 min online per day [23]. This result represents a significantly smaller amount of time than suggested by studies relying on self-reported data: for instance, a large sample of American students w.r.t. their digital media usage habits is discussed in [17]. Results from that survey indicate that on average students spend over two hours per day engaging with online media.

Over and above media use in the course of their everyday lives, numerous studies support the argument that students' media use within academic settings has become increasingly common. In [15], for example, data were gathered about media use during academic study, finding that two-thirds of the sampled students reported media use either while in class or in self-regulated study. Similarly, [28] shows students' media multitasking habits in their own personal study environments, whereby students averaged less than six minutes on task before switching to another task. The most frequent causes of task-switching were observed to be technological distractions such as social media and texting [28].

In [6] we can find an experimental approach to determine the number of media interruptions students experience, the duration of these interruptions as well as the proportion of study time devoted to media multitasking behaviour. It was found that students engaged with an average of 35 distractions of six seconds or longer, with an aggregated mean duration of 25 min [6], whereby cellphone and laptop use constituted the largest frequency and duration of distraction from academic work. Those results are commensurate with other studies in this area such as [10, 13, 28].

Following a survey of 1,839 students, in-lecture media use was classified in [16] into three categories: 'high', 'moderate' and 'low' frequency media use. Of the categories examined, texting on a mobile phone was found to be the only media activity which could be classified as high-frequency, with 69% of the sample indicating in-lecture texting activity [16].

In a study examining the nature of students' in-class laptop use, it was found that students spend a substantial amount of time multitasking on laptops within

a lecture [13], whereby over the 20 week period of the study students reported using their laptops for non-lecture related activities for an average of 17 min out of each 75 min lecture [13].

In another survey study, conducted in the USA [22], it was found that 92% of the respondents used a digital device during a lecture for off-task activities at least once during a typical day. Similar to the results of [16], texting was found to be the most frequently engaged in activity, with email, social networking and web-browsing following. In [22] over 80% of the students indicated that multitasking with a digital device in class caused them to pay less attention.

The use of digital media in university lectures and the use of mobile devices whilst attending lectures was also discussed in [27] where it was found that 66% of the respondents used a mobile device whilst in lectures, and that laptops were primarily used for non-academic purposes [27].

Research in the South African context is generally congruent with the aforementioned international studies. For instance, [24] shows mobile phone usage by South African university students, finding that in a sample of 362 students only 1% did not own a mobile phone. Another study surveys 500 low-income South African students [19] and shows extensive use of mobile devices amongst this demographic sample. That paper shows that for low-income students in South Africa, a mobile device constitutes their primary connection to the Internet, with 83% of participants accessing mobile Internet applications on a daily basis.

Similarly, in another South African university survey [20], it was found that the dominant class of media in use by South African students in a lecture context is non-academic in nature. Specifically, instant messaging and social networking are the most frequently used media during a lecture [20].

In summary, students spend a significant amount of their time engaging with digital media in many varied forms and contexts, in general as well as throughout formal, structured educational settings. Hence, nowadays *students' lives are to a large extent mediated* by the digital technology through which they engage many aspects of the world.

## 2.2 Students' Beliefs and Behavioural Norms

Research focusing on students' perceptions of media use, social norms and beliefs about media use in academic settings is limited. 'Normative beliefs' are defined as an individuals' perception of social normative pressures on them to perform a certain behaviour [12]. Similarly, behavioural beliefs are described as an individual's beliefs about the consequences arising from a particular behaviour [12]. In the context of students' media use, normative beliefs relate to social pressures to engage with digital media in academic settings. Correspondingly, behavioural beliefs relate to perceptions about the implications of media use for their academic performance.

While there may be limited research within this area, two focus-group based studies provide some level of insight. At a medical university in the United States, all students were required to use laptops for study purposes. A number of focus-groups was employed in [1] to investigate the benefits and draw-

backs of the required laptop program at that university. Students in the focus groups reported frequently using laptops in lectures for off-task activities. They explained that they commonly engage in social media and web browsing when they become bored with the lecture [1]. Despite reporting the use of laptops for off-task activities, the students explained that from their perspective, laptops improved their communication abilities, access to learning material as well as increased the flexibility of education [1]. However, the most frequently reported drawback of laptop use was distraction. This outcome is in agreement with [13] where students perceive their own use of a laptop as well as that of those around them to be the single greatest distraction to learning in the classroom setting.

A later series of focus groups was conducted at a South African university [25], focusing on students' behavioural beliefs, the triggers underlying their behaviour and the nature of their behaviour with media in academic contexts. Through a thematic analysis of these focus groups it was found that students are cognizant of the impact that media multitasking has on their cognitive functioning and, as a consequence, their academic performance. This is especially the case when in structured lecture contexts. In terms of social norms, [25] indicates that students believe that the behaviour that they exhibit with digital media is shared by their peer group and that off-task media use in academic settings has become a normal mode of functioning.

### 3 Method

While many aspects of students' media use have been extensively studied (as discussed above), there remain many unanswered questions in this domain. Few studies have been conducted in the South African higher education context, and little is known of the role demographic factors play in moderating media use. Also the influence of subjective norms and beliefs on media use frequency in structured academic contexts has yet to be investigated. This paper sets out to investigate online media use among university students, both within and outside structured academic contexts. To this end a *survey-based approach* was followed, enabling the collection of data from a large population through a series of *Likert-type questions*. The sections which follow address the survey design and the data collection.

#### 3.1 Survey Design

A web-based survey consisting of three sections was utilised to collect data relating to the research problem. The first section of the survey concerned subjects' media use behaviour in and outside of structured academic contexts (i.e., lectures, practical classes or tutorial classes). Six forms of online media typically used by the target population were selected. These included:

1. Social Networks (SN);
2. Micro-blogs (MB);

3. Encyclopedic (or structured data) browsing (ENC);
4. Instant Messaging (IM);
5. Search (engine) activities (SE);
6. The university's e-learning platform (EL).

For each medium, general use frequency was elicited through a five-point Likert-type question with indicators for 'not at all', 'sometimes (at least once per month)', 'often (at least once per week)', 'at most once a day', and 'many times per day'. For use frequency in structured academic contexts (which we refer to as *in-lecture use*) the indicators were 'not at all', 'once or twice', 'every 10 min', 'every 5 min', and 'constantly'.

The second section of the survey concerned, firstly, subjects' beliefs about social norms regarding the use of smartphones in structured academic contexts and, secondly, their beliefs about the motivations or triggers underlying smartphone use in these contexts.

In the third and final section of the survey demographic variables were elicited. These included age, gender, language, highest qualification of parents and area of study (i.e. the subject's home faculty). In addition to these subjects were asked to report their general level of academic performance through a scale ranging, in 5% intervals, from 'below 40%' to '96%–100%'.

### 3.2 Data Collection

A single round of invitations to complete the survey was sent to 14,122 undergraduate students across all faculties at a large South African university. Because the survey contained questions concerning subjects' academic performance in the previous academic year, first-year students were excluded from the list of recipients. Completion of the survey was incentivised by offering subjects that have completed the survey a chance to win a gift voucher through a separately managed lucky draw. A total of 1,678 completed surveys were submitted within a three-week period following the invitation.

## 4 Data Analysis

The data analysis is presented in five parts. The first provides a descriptive overview of the sample population based on the following demographic variables: age, gender, language, highest qualification of parents and area of study (i.e. the subject's home faculty). This is followed by the analysis of the media use patterns (in general and during lectures) in relation to the six demographic variables. We then consider data relating to students' beliefs and norms about in-lecture media use, followed by data concerning the reasons (triggers) underlying instances of use in-lecture use. Finally, we briefly consider the relationship between media use and academic performance.

## 4.1 Sample Population

Just over 82% of the 1,678 students that completed the survey are between 20 and 23 years of age. Of the remaining subjects 7% did not disclose their age while the rest are older (mostly 24 or 25 years of age). The sample included slightly more female (51%) than male (49%) respondents. In terms of first language, 47.6% of the respondents indicated Afrikaans, while 42.5% indicated English. The remaining 10% indicated isiXhosa (2.3%), Zulu (1.9%), Sepedi (0.8%), other African languages (3%), or other European languages (1.9%). Almost 60% of the respondents indicated that at least one of their parents has a university qualification. This includes Bachelor's degrees (31.1%), Honours and/or Master degrees (24.6%),<sup>1</sup> and Doctorates (4.2%). However, a large section of the respondents' parents' highest qualification is a secondary-school (pre-university) certificate (38.4%). The university's 10 faculties were generally well-represented in the sample with the exception of the faculties of Education (40 respondents), Theology (19 respondents) and Military Science (10 respondents). Table 1 shows a summary of the number of the respondents for each faculty.

## 4.2 Media Use (in General)

The medium used most frequently among the sample population is instant messaging (IM) with a mean frequency of 4.9 (on a 5–1 Likert scale), whereby 97% of the respondents indicated that they use IM multiple times per day. Only 12 respondents indicated that they do not use IM at all. This is followed by search engines with a mean use frequency of 4.73 and the university's learning platform with a mean frequency of 4.5. Social networks are used only slightly less frequently (4.36) while encyclopedias (2.97) and micro-blogs (2.23) are used with substantially lower frequencies. Comparison of general media use frequencies based on gender reveals that female students tend to use social media more frequently than male students. This is the case for instant messaging (4.96 vs. 4.91), social networks (4.5 vs. 4.21) and micro-blogs (2.49 vs. 1.96). Male students are more frequent users of encyclopedias (3.1 vs. 2.85), search engines (4.77 vs. 4.68) and the university's learning platform (4.54 vs. 4.47). The data offer little evidence that the age difference between subjects in the sample has a substantial influence on media use behaviour. When considering use across all media there is a slight drop in frequency between the ages of 20 and 23. Respondents aged 20 have a mean frequency of 24.0 across the six media while for those aged 21 and 22 it is 23.9 and 23.5 respectively. However, this number increases to 23.6 for respondents aged 23. Much like the respondents' age, the data indicate that neither first language, home faculty or parents' highest qualification influence general media use frequency in any substantial way.

<sup>1</sup> For readers from outside South Africa: the South African 'honours' degree is an extension of the classical 'B.Sc.' degree which enables a student to commence with Master-studies thereafter. While already considered 'postgraduate' in South Africa, the 'honours' degree in South Africa is reasonably well comparable to the final study-year in the (longer) U.S.American 'B.Sc.' curriculum.



**Table 1.** Respondents per home faculty

Faculty	# Respondents	Percent (%)	Cumulative percent (%)
Engineering	402	24.0	24.0
Economics and management	353	21.0	45.0
Medicine and health science	263	15.7	60.7
Arts and social sciences	223	13.3	74.0
Natural sciences	196	11.7	85.7
Agricultural sciences	107	6.4	92.1
Law (Jurisprudence)	63	3.8	95.9
Education (Pedagogics)	40	2.4	98.3
Theology	19	1.1	99.4
Military science	10	0.6	99.9
(Missing)	2	0.1	100
(Total)	1678	100	100

### 4.3 Media Use During Lectures

The sessions (i.e.: lectures, practical classes and tutorials) at the university where the data were collected are all 50 min in duration. We customised the Likert items and their indicators to elicit a respondent's use frequency during a single session. The resulting five-point scale had indicators for 'not at all', 'once or twice', 'every 10 min', 'every 5 min', and 'constantly'. As is the case for media use in general, IM is the medium used most frequently during lectures with a mean use frequency of 2.82. Thereby, 16% of the respondents reported that they IM constantly during lectures with another 30% indicating that they do so at least every 10 min. Search engines (mean = 2.06) closely followed by social networks (mean = 2.03) were the second and third most used media, while the e-learning platform (mean = 1.96), encyclopaedia (mean = 1.51) and micro-blogs (mean = 1.32) were used less frequently. In terms of gender differences the same pattern observable for general use repeats itself for in-lecture use. Male students

reported slightly higher use of search engines, encyclopaedia and the e-learning platform, while female students reported slightly higher use of social media. The mean scores are presented in Table 2. Finally, the data offered no evidence that students' in-lecture media use frequencies differ based on their parents' highest academic qualification. This suggests that socio-economic status is not a determinant of in-lecture use.

**Table 2.** Mean in-lecture use frequency of different media, by gender

Gender	SN	MB	ENC	IM	SE	EL
Male	1.99	1.27	1.53	2.81	2.14	1.98
Female	2.07	1.36	1.48	2.83	1.98	1.94

With the exception of instant messaging, there is a slight but consistent decrease in in-lecture use of *social* media for *older* respondents. Table 3 presents the mean use frequencies for our four primary age cohorts (20–23 years). The data suggest that older students tend to use social networks and micro-blogs less during lectures, but encyclopaedia more. The mean use across all media, calculated as a sum of the six media, drops from 12.08 for 20-year olds to 11.7 for 23-year olds.

**Table 3.** Mean in-lecture use frequency of different media, by age groups

Age	SN	MB	ENC	IM	SE	EL
20	2.14	1.37	1.46	2.97	2.08	2.06
21	2.05	1.32	1.47	2.85	2.03	2.01
22	1.95	1.31	1.53	2.77	2.01	1.84
23	1.98	1.26	1.61	2.88	2.14	1.82

As indicated in Table 4, Military Science emerged as the faculty with the highest in-lecture use across all media. However, the number of respondents from that faculty (only 10) is too low to justify conclusions to be drawn. The other nine faculties are bunched between 12.33 (Education) and 10.94 (Law).

A more detailed breakdown of in-lecture use per faculty is given in Table 5 which shows the mean frequencies for each medium.

#### 4.4 Beliefs and Norms

We now turn our attention to students' beliefs and norms regarding in-lecture media use. Respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement with two statements using a five-point Likert scale. The provided statements (to agree or to disagree with) were:

**Table 4.** Mean in-lecture use frequency for all media and faculties

Faculty	Mean value	N
Agricultural sciences	11.02	107
Arts and social sciences	12.16	223
Economics and management	11.87	353
Education (Pedagogics)	12.33	40
Engineering	11.40	402
Law (Jurisprudence)	10.94	63
Medicine and health science	12.25	263
Military science	14.60	10
Natural sciences	10.97	196
Theology	11.89	19


**Table 5.** Mean in-lecture use frequency of different media, by faculty

Faculty	SN	MB	ENC	IM	SE	EL
Agricultural sciences	1.95	1.18	1.46	2.77	1.90	1.77
Arts and social sciences	2.13	1.49	1.59	2.79	2.10	2.05
Economics and management	2.19	1.32	1.37	2.93	2.05	2.01
Education (Pedagogics)	2.03	1.35	1.53	3.10	2.20	2.13
Engineering	1.97	1.23	1.44	2.79	2.04	1.94
Law (Jurisprudence)	2.14	1.25	1.29	2.84	1.70	1.71
Medicine and health science	2.03	1.41	1.75	2.90	2.23	1.93
Military science	2.30	1.50	2.10	2.90	3.10	2.70
Natural sciences	1.77	1.26	1.49	2.63	1.92	1.90
Theology	1.95	1.21	1.63	2.47	2.32	2.32

1. *It is acceptable to use my phone during lectures.*
2. *In-lecture mobile phone use negatively affects my studies.*

Close to 30% of the respondents either agreed (20%) or strongly agreed (9%) that it is acceptable to use their phones during lectures. 39% of the respondents felt neutral about the statement while the remaining students either disagreed (24%) or strongly disagreed (8%). Stronger agreement was found in relation to the second statement with 44% of the respondents either agreeing (27%) or strongly agreeing (17%) that in-lecture phone use negatively impacts their studies. Only 15% of the respondents disagreed, and 9% strongly disagreed with this statement. In the case of both statements students' beliefs were found to correlate significantly with their in-lecture use frequencies. Correlation tests revealed that belief about the acceptability of in-lecture phone use is a stronger predictor of use (with  $\rho = 0.33, p < 0.01$ ) than belief about the impact of in-lecture phone

use on academic performance (with  $\rho = -0.10, p < 0.01$ ). These correlations are illustrated in Fig. 1.



**Fig. 1.** Frequency of in-lecture media use in relation to beliefs about its acceptability (left-hand-side), and frequency of in-lecture media use in relation to beliefs about its effect on academic performance (right-hand-side)

Despite the existence of these correlations it is worth noting that students often act in contradiction to their beliefs. For example, 339 respondents indicated that they disagreed that it is acceptable to use phones during lectures. However, 257 of these respondents indicated that they used their phones at least once or twice during lectures to send instant messages. Similarly, 60 of the respondents who indicated that they used their phones once or twice per lecture to access a social network strongly disagreed with the acceptability of in-lecture phone use. The same pattern is also observable for beliefs about in-lecture phone use and academic performance. Of the 285 respondents who strongly agreed that in-lecture phone use negatively affects their studies, 49 constantly used IM during lectures and another 74 did so at least every 10 min. Only 24 of the 285 indicated that they did not use IM at all during lectures. The respondents were also asked to indicate how frequently in-lecture media use is discouraged by lecturers and peers. A five-point Likert scale ranging from ‘never’ to ‘always’ was provided in relation to the following two statements:

1. *Lecturers discourage mobile phone use during lectures.*
2. *My classmates discourage mobile phone use during lectures.*

33% of the respondents reported that lecturers always discourage in-lecture phone use (12.4%) or do so most of the time (20.5%). The largest portion of the respondents (39%) indicated that this happens sometimes. 57% of the respondents reported that their classmates never discourage in-lecture phone use, and only 19% indicated that this happens sometimes (16%), most of the time (2%), or always (1%). Our analysis revealed that neither of these items are predictors of the level of in-lecture use frequency reported.

## 4.5 Reasons for Use

The survey tested for five reasons for use ( $RU_i$ ) using five-point Likert questions with frequency indicators ranging from ‘never’ to ‘always’. The five items tested for were:

$RU_1$ : *Use as a response to boredom.*

$RU_2$ : *Use to chat with friends.*

$RU_3$ : *Use to stay up to date with current events.*

$RU_4$ : *Use to find information relevant to the lecture.*

$RU_5$ : *Use after receiving a notification.*

All in all, the respondents indicated that the receipt of notifications is the most frequent trigger of in-lecture phone use (3.39), followed by experiences of boredom (3.03) and the desire to chat with friends (2.9). Use triggered by the desire to find information related to the lecture (2.73) or about current events (2.69) were reported to occur less frequently. Our analysis further indicated that the demographic variables tested for did not influence these numbers in any noteworthy manner.

## 4.6 Use and Academic Performance

Now we briefly consider the variables tested for in relation to the respondents’ self-reported academic performance.<sup>2</sup> Our data indicate, firstly, that media use in general does not correlate with academic performance across the sample. However, media use during lectures (calculated as the sum of frequency of use of all media tested for) was found to correlate negatively with self-reported academic performance (with  $\rho = -0.07, p < 0.01$ ).

A *counter-intuitive finding* was made upon analysis of the relationship between the general use of individual media and academic performance. The only medium for which correlation was found is the university’s e-learning platform and, interestingly, this correlation is *negative* ( $\rho = -0.09, p < 0.01$ ). Explanation of this finding requires further investigation. However, we believe it may suggest that students who consider the e-learning platform as a replacement of lectures and, as such, over-rely on it, tend to perform worse in assessments.

Analysis of the relationship between in-lecture use of individual media and academic performance revealed that social networks (with  $\rho = -0.07, p < 0.01$ ), and instant messaging (with  $\rho = -0.06, p < 0.01$ ) were the media responsible for the negative correlation observed between in-lecture use and academic performance reported above.

---

<sup>2</sup> The scope of this paper does not allow for a detailed analysis of the relationship between media use and academic performance. Our findings in this regard shall be published separately.

## 5 Discussion

In the section which follows we discuss our findings in relation to five themes. Firstly, we consider our findings in the context of the recent ‘hype’ around ‘blended learning’. Then we consider demographic variables as predictors of media use. This is followed by considerations of our findings regarding the students’ beliefs about media use and, thereafter, the role of institutions in managing this behaviour. Finally, we briefly reflect upon the findings made regarding media use and academic performance.

It is our opinion that the recent popularity of ‘blended learning’ initiatives in higher education environments have, perhaps inadvertently, *cultivated social norms around media use in structured academic contexts that may be obstructive*, as opposed to conducive, to learning. This, we believe, is primarily a result of naïvety about the distractions contemporary technology introduce to learning environments. Our data, accordingly, clearly indicate that high levels of off-task media use has become the norm in structured academic contexts. While there is evidence that students still perceive this as unacceptable behaviour, the greatest majority engage in multiple instances of off-task media use in a single 50-min lecture.

These findings should be contextualised within the rapid development of both mobile devices themselves and the applications they afford. Students have, at their fingertips, an increasingly wide range of entertainment and social attractions. Institutional infrastructure like WiFi-enabled lecture halls not only enables in-lecture media use but serves to establish such behaviour as the norm among students. In turn, *lecturers are placed in the difficult position of having to compete with media for students’ attention*. Their challenge is exacerbated by, what seems to be, a decrease in the value students attribute to lectures as learning opportunities [25].

While we acknowledge their value and strongly encourage the continuation and expansion of blended learning initiatives, our findings raise a ‘red flag’ regarding the range of implications resulting from their implementation. The successful harnessing of this double-edged sword requires thoughtful management. As the attention economy becomes more competitive facilitators of learning should emphasise and enable attentional control among students, something which might imply limiting rather than maximising media use in learning environments. This is perhaps best illustrated by the finding that higher levels of use of the e-learning platform correlates with lower academic performance. Though this finding requires further investigation, it should serve to encourage careful, balanced deliberation about the role of technology learning.

In terms of demographics our findings suggest that high levels of media use in and outside academic contexts are not limited to particular subpopulations. However, slight variations in use behaviour are detectable. Female students use social media slightly more frequently than their male counterparts, while male students use encyclopedia and search engines more frequently. Likewise, older students tend to be more frequent users of encyclopedia and search engines and

less frequent users of social media. We emphasise that these differences are not substantial and provide little ground for conclusions to be drawn.

In accordance with prominent technology-use theories [12], our data indicate that students' subjective norms concerning in-lecture media use influence their in-lecture media use frequency. Similarly, beliefs about the negative effects of use on academic performance were also found to be a statistically significant predictor of in-lecture use frequency. It should be noted that our data did not afford an in-depth understanding of the role beliefs and norms play in this regard. While [25] has made notable progress in this area, we encourage future research to provide, particularly through qualitative data, insight into the subjective factors that determine media use.

Our data suggest that lecturers at the institution where the study was performed do, at least sometimes, discourage in-lecture media use. This, however, does not seem to be a broadly accepted (enacted) policy. Furthermore, students' perception of how regularly this occurs was found not to be a predictor of their in-lecture use frequency. In accordance with these findings it is our position that institutions will achieve little success if they attempt to manage in-lecture media use through top-down control mechanisms.

Finally, our study confirmed previously reported findings regarding the negative correlation between in-lecture media use and academic performance. Of course, this correlation may be interpreted in a variety of ways and does not necessarily suggest that in-lecture media use impedes learning. A more detailed analysis of this phenomenon is forthcoming. However, it is our proposition that the high levels of media use observed among students encourage a 'culture of distractibility' [7] which has, under the guise of 'blended learning', become ingrained in structured academic contexts at higher learning institutions.

Several of the more important *limitations* require attention. First, this study used a self-report survey as its data gathering technique. While yielding a large number of responses, there exist potential limitations in the nature of the findings that can be generated through this technique, potentially limiting the insights possible. The second limitation of this study is due to the use of discrete media activities in the survey design. This design potentially limits the reporting of other media (either on or off-task) engaged in by students. This may imply that students' use of media is in fact under-reported. Third, by limiting the scope of students' responses, through the use of Likert scales, the potential for further qualitative insight into their beliefs and behaviour is limited. However, despite limitations such as these, the study findings are of interest because of the large sample size, the unique context, and the novel insights into students' behaviours and beliefs uncovered.

## 6 Conclusion

The current generation of students, as members of the 'net generation', display a propensity for continuous media use throughout their lives, as well as in structured academic contexts. This behaviour is further encouraged by increased

institutional moves towards blended learning and e-learning strategies. This is especially the case for the fields of computer science and, information science, where these digital artifacts are often the medium and the subject of interest.

The findings presented in this study indicate that demographic factors are irrelevant as predictors of media use. For all students, using media for off-task purposes during lectures has become the normal mode of functioning. This finding, in combination with the finding that no link has been found between institutional attempts to curb off-task media use and changes in students' behaviour, suggests that such behaviour is so widespread and entrenched that it has come to define the current generation of students in higher education.

Extending from this study are a number of potential *future research* directions. This study primarily focused on the behaviour and beliefs displayed by students in regards to their use of media. Future research should focus on the role media play in fostering a distracted state of mind in both students, as well as in the general population. In addition to this, we conjecture that the issue of students' beliefs and perceptions of behavioural norms is nuanced to such a degree that further research in this area would benefit from adopting a qualitative method. In particular, we encourage those educators undertaking blended learning initiatives to carefully and continuously monitoring student behaviour through the collection of qualitative data. This feedback should reveal differences between the desired behaviour and the actual behaviour of learners in terms of media use. We propose, accordingly, that researchers should be sensitive to the emergence of unforeseen behavioural patterns in which digital technology obstructs rather than aids learning. In addition to this, these findings should be shared with colleagues at the appropriate institutional fora.

The pervasive ubiquity, and extensive media use within educational institutions continues to raise profoundly important questions about the impact of such media within these contexts. We acknowledge the potential of digital media in learning. However, we argue for a balanced perspective which acknowledges both the positive and negative consequences arising from digital media use in academic contexts. In this regard, we hold the view that those with technical knowledge have an important role to play in acknowledging the changing nature of the student population and, how academic institutions propose to meet this challenge.

## References

1. Annan-Coultas, D.L.: Laptops as instructional tools: student perceptions. *Tech Trends* **56**(5), 34–42 (2012)
2. Bain, K.: *What the Best College Teachers Do*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge (2004)
3. Berkowitz, A.D.: *The social norms approach: theory, research, and annotated bibliography*. Technical report (2004)
4. Blackburn, K., Lefebvre, L., Richardson, E.: Technology interruptions: technological task interruptions in the classroom. *Fla. Commun. J.* **41**(2), 107–116 (2013)



5. Burak, L.: Multitasking in the University classroom. *Int. J. Scholarsh. Teach. Learn.* **6**(2), 8 (2012)
6. Calderwood, C., Ackerman, P.L., Conklin, E.M.: What else do college students do while studying? an investigation of multitasking. *Comput. Educ.* **75**, 19–29 (2014)
7. Carr, N.: *The Shallows: How the Internet is Changing the Way we Think, Read and Remember*. Atlantic Books, London (2010)
8. Cotten, S.R., McCullough, B., Adams, R.: Technological influences on social ties across the lifespan. In: Fingerman, K.L., Berg, C., Smith, J., Antonucci, T.C. (eds.) *Handbook of Life-Span Development*, pp. 647–671. Springer, New York (2011)
9. Dahlstrom, E., Bichsel, J.: ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology. Technical report (2014)
10. David, P., Kim, J.H., Brickman, J.S., Ran, W., Curtis, C.M.: Mobile phone distraction while studying. *New Media Soc.* **17**(10), 1661–1679 (2014)
11. Dietz, S., Christopher Henrich, C.: Texting as a distraction to learning in college students. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **36**, 163–167 (2014)
12. Fishbein, M., Ajzen, I.: Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: an introduction to theory and research. *Philos. Rhetoric* **10**(2), 130–132 (1977)
13. Fried, C.B.: In-class laptop use and its effects on student learning. *Comput. Educ.* **50**(3), 906–914 (2008)
14. Garrison, D.R., Kanuka, H.: Blended learning: uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *Internet High. Educ.* **7**(2), 95–105 (2004)
15. Jacobsen, W.C., Forste, R.: The wired generation: academic and social outcomes of electronic media use among university students. *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* **14**(5), 275–280 (2011)
16. Junco, R.: In-class multitasking and academic performance. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **28**(6), 2236–2243 (2012)
17. Junco, R., Cotten, S.R.: A decade of distraction? how multitasking affects student outcomes. In: *A Decade in Internet Time Symposium on the Dynamics of the Internet and Society*, Oxford (2011)
18. Kay, R.H., Lauricella, S.: Exploring the benefits and challenges of using laptop computers in higher education classrooms: a formative analysis. *Can. J. Learn. Technol.* **37**(1), 1–18 (2011)
19. Kreutzer, T.: Internet and online media usage on mobile phones among low-income urban youth in cape town. In: *ICA Proceedings International Communication Association Conference*, pp. 1–21 (2009)
20. Leysens, J.L., le Roux, D.B., Parry, D.A.: Can i have your attention, please? an empirical investigation of media multitasking during University lectures. In: *Proceedings South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists*, paper #21, ACM (2016). doi:[10.1145/2987491.2987498](https://doi.org/10.1145/2987491.2987498)
21. Lomas, C., Oblinger, D.G.: Student practices and their impact on learning spaces. In: *Learning Spaces*, Educause (2006)
22. McCoy, B.R.: Digital distractions in the classroom: student classroom use of digital devices for non-class-related purposes. *J. Media Educ.* **4**(4), 5–12 (2013)
23. Moreno, M.A., Jelenchick, L., Koff, R., Eikoff, J., Diermyer, C., Christakis, D.A.: Internet use and multitasking among older adolescents: an experience sampling approach. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **28**(4), 1097–1102 (2012)
24. North, D., Johnston, K., Ophoff, J.: The use of mobile phones by South African university students. *Issues Inf. Sci. Inf. Technol.* **11**, 115–138 (2014)
25. Parry, D.A.: *The digitally-mediated study experiences of undergraduate students in South Africa*. Stellenbosch University, Doctoral Dissertation (2017)

26. Prensky, M.: Digital natives, digital immigrants. *On the Horiz. J. Serv. Manag.* **9**(3), 245–267 (2001)
27. Roberts, N., Rees, M.: Student use of mobile devices in University lectures. *Australas. J. Educ. Technol.* **30**(4), 415–426 (2014)
28. Rosen, L.D., Carrier, L.M., Cheever, N.A.: Facebook and texting made me do it: media-induced task-switching while studying. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **29**(3), 948–958 (2013)
29. Tapscott, D.: *Grown up Digital*. McGraw-Hill, New York City (1998)
30. Thompson, P.: The digital natives as learners: technology use patterns and approaches to learning. *Comput. Educ.* **65**, 12–33 (2013)
31. van der Schuur, W., Baumgartner, S.E., Sumter, S.R., Valkenburg, P.M.: The consequences of media multitasking for youth: a review. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **53**, 204–215 (2015)
32. Zimmerman, B.J.: Investigating self-regulation and motivation: historical background, methodological developments, and future prospects. *Am. Educ. Res. J.* **45**(1), 166–183 (2008)

ICT Education

46th Annual Conference of the Southern African  
Computer Lecturers' Association, SACLA 2017,  
Magaliesburg, South Africa, July 3-5, 2017, Revised  
Selected Papers

Liebenberg, J.; Gruner, S. (Eds.)

2017, XIV, 325 p. 60 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-69669-0