
Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	How Science Works	3
2.1	Philosophical Principles in Science	3
2.2	Principles of Scientific Methodology	4
2.3	The Ethical and Legal Framework of Science	5
2.4	Science in the Real World	5
3	Essence of Scientific Communication	7
3.1	Documentation	7
3.2	Validation	8
3.3	Publishing	8
3.4	Archiving	9
4	Why Peer Review?	11
4.1	What Peer Review Can Do	11
4.2	Limitations of Peer Review	12
4.3	Historical Note	13
5	The Journal Peer Review	15
5.1	Science	15
5.2	Methodology	16
5.3	Legal/Ethical Framework	17
5.4	Publishing	18
5.5	Presentation of Science	19
5.6	Authorship	20
5.7	Recommendations	21
5.8	Checklist for a Complete Review	23

5.9	Formal Structure of a Peer Review	27
5.10	Editorial Decision.	28
6	Responsibilities of the Reviewer	29
6.1	Reviewer Responsibilities Toward Authors	29
6.2	Reviewer Responsibilities Toward Editors.	30
6.3	How, When, and Why to Say no to a Review Request.	31
6.4	How Much Time Spent with Review?	31
6.5	How Much Detail?	31
7	Ethical Rules in the Reviewing Process.	33
7.1	Reviewer Misconduct.	33
7.2	Conflict and Solutions	34
8	The Dark Side of Scientific Publishing	35
8.1	The Tragedy of the Reviewer Commons/Cascading Peer Review	35
8.2	Fake Journal Peer Review	36
8.3	Failure of the System	37
8.4	Predators.	37
9	Critique and Variations of the Journal Peer Reviewing Process	39
9.1	Critique of the Peer Reviewing Process.	39
9.2	Single Blind Reviewing	41
9.3	Double Blind Reviewing	41
9.4	Open Peer Review	43
9.5	Alternative Procedures—Post-Publication Peer Review	43
9.6	The Experts and the Crowd	44
9.7	The Role of Metrics in Research Assessment	45
10	Reviewer Recognition	47
10.1	Reviewer Recognition Platforms	48
10.2	Who Owns the Review?	49
11	Institutions and Committees/Recommendations in Conflict.	51
11.1	Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).	51
11.2	Council of Science Editors (CSE)	51
11.3	EQUATOR Network	51
11.4	European Association of Science Editors (EASE).	52
11.5	International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.	52
11.6	Society for Scholarly Publishing (SSP)	52

11.7	International Society of Managing and Technical Editors (ISMTE)	52
11.8	World Association of Medical Editors (WAME)	53
11.9	World Medical Association: Declaration of Helsinki	53
11.10	Office of Research Integrity (ORI).	53
References		57



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-658-19914-2>

Scientific Peer Review

Guidelines for Informative Peer Review

Starck, J.M.

2017, IX, 59 p., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-658-19914-2