

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Setting the Scene . . . . .	1
1.2	Why Would Arbitration Users Sue Arbitral Institutions? . . . . .	7
1.3	The Two Legitimacy Pressures and Efficiency . . . . .	9
1.4	How to Respond to the Trend of Increasing Liability Suits? Preliminary Proposals . . . . .	13
1.5	Methodology . . . . .	14
1.6	Organization of the Book . . . . .	15
	References . . . . .	16
<b>2</b>	<b>Status and Functions of Modern Arbitral Institutions</b> . . . . .	19
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	19
2.2	Arbitral Institutions as Service Providers? The Mainstream Definitions of Institutional Arbitration Condemned. . . . .	20
2.2.1	International Legal Textbooks . . . . .	20
2.2.2	Arbitration Rules and Guides to the Rules. . . . .	27
2.2.3	Other Disciplines . . . . .	35
2.3	Dual Function of Arbitral Institutions in the Contemporary Arbitration System . . . . .	37
2.3.1	Traditional Commercial Function . . . . .	38
2.3.2	Emerging Public Function . . . . .	67
2.4	Conclusion in the Context of Institutional Liability: On the Relationship Between the Emerging Public Function, Efficiency, and Legitimacy of Institutional Regimes. . . . .	108
	References . . . . .	110
<b>3</b>	<b>The Triad of Modern Functions of Arbitral Institutions—in Search of the Sources and Scope of Institutional Arbitral Liability</b> . . . . .	117
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	117
3.2	The Legal Dimension. . . . .	118
3.2.1	The Legal Basis for Institutional Liability: Contract or Status or Both? . . . . .	119

3.2.2	Theorizing Territoriality of Institutional Arbitration: Courts' Jurisdiction and the Law Applicable in Liability Lawsuits . . . . .	146
3.2.3	Additional Sources of Institutional Arbitral Liability—Introduction to the Societal and Economic Dimensions of Institutional Functions . . . . .	153
3.3	The Societal Dimension . . . . .	155
3.3.1	The Internal Legitimacy . . . . .	155
3.3.2	The External Legitimacy . . . . .	172
3.3.3	On How the Need for Public Accountability Diminished Private Accountability: Consequences of the Weakening of the Societal Dimension . . . . .	180
3.4	The Economic Dimension . . . . .	185
3.4.1	Bottom-up . . . . .	186
3.4.2	Top-Down . . . . .	188
3.5	Conclusion: The Sources and Optimal Scope of Institutional Arbitral Liability . . . . .	191
	References . . . . .	193
<b>4</b>	<b>On How the Current Institutional Regulations of Liability Do Not Respond to the Triad of Institutional Functions . . .</b>	<b>197</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	197
4.2	Legal Problems with Institutional Contractual Immunity . . . . .	199
4.2.1	“We Are Contractors but We Do Not Assume Liability:” On Why Absolute Contractual Immunity Appears Paradoxical . . . . .	200
4.2.2	“Do Not Attack Our Experts:” On Why Contractual Immunity Should Not Apply to All Institutional Arbitration Actors . . . . .	202
4.2.3	What Happens in Arbitration Stays Behind Closed Doors of Arbitral Institutions: Immunity from Process and Its Consequences . . . . .	205
4.3	On the Problems with the Societal Dimension . . . . .	210
4.3.1	Public Rationale Behind Institutional Contractual Immunity . . . . .	210
4.3.2	Insufficiency of the Modern Mechanisms of Accountability . . . . .	220
4.4	Imperfect Competition and the Harmonized Contractual Institutional Immunity: On the Problems with the Economic Dimension . . . . .	232
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	234
	References . . . . .	236
<b>5</b>	<b>The Legal Dimension of Institutional Arbitration: On the Current National Regulations and “Visions” of Institutional Arbitral Liability . . . . .</b>	<b>239</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	239

- 5.2 National Regulations . . . . . 241
  - 5.2.1 “Up in the Air”: Problems with the Legal Theories of Institutional Arbitration . . . . . 241
  - 5.2.2 “The Jury Is Still Out!” Practical Problems with Institutional Arbitral Liability . . . . . 272
- 5.3 Philosophical Approach to the International Institutional Function: On “Visions” . . . . . 281
  - 5.3.1 International Institutional Function v. the National Visions of Arbitral Liability . . . . . 282
  - 5.3.2 International Institutional Function v. the National Visions of Delocalization of Arbitration . . . . . 284
  - 5.3.3 Divergent National Visions of Institutional Arbitral Liability and Forum Shopping . . . . . 286
- 5.4 Conclusion . . . . . 288
- References . . . . . 290
- 6 Cutting the Gordian Knot: Proposals for the Institutional Reform of Arbitral Liability . . . . . 295**
  - 6.1 Introduction . . . . . 295
  - 6.2 The Weaknesses of the Current Proposals . . . . . 297
    - 6.2.1 Qualified Immunity, Contractual Liability and the “Surrogate Theory” . . . . . 297
    - 6.2.2 Further Surrogacy as a Doctrine of Liability? . . . . . 298
    - 6.2.3 Rutledge’s Market-Based Approach to Contractual Liability . . . . . 299
  - 6.3 Institutional Liability Models: Possible Alternatives . . . . . 300
    - 6.3.1 Essential Variables . . . . . 301
    - 6.3.2 Content of the Contractual Obligations of Arbitral Institutions . . . . . 301
    - 6.3.3 Possible Models . . . . . 302
    - 6.3.4 Analysis . . . . . 306
    - 6.3.5 Additional Assumptions of the Proposed Model . . . . . 311
  - 6.4 On How the Proposed Model Responds to the Three Dimensions of Institutional Functions . . . . . 315
    - 6.4.1 The Legal Dimension . . . . . 316
    - 6.4.2 The Societal Dimension . . . . . 320
    - 6.4.3 The Economic Dimension . . . . . 329
    - 6.4.4 Functions of Liability in the Proposed Model . . . . . 338
  - 6.5 Conclusion . . . . . 339
  - References . . . . . 340
- 7 The Level and Scope of the Public Regulations on Institutional Arbitral Liability: Proposals . . . . . 343**
  - 7.1 Introduction . . . . . 343
  - 7.2 Which Level for the Public Regulation? . . . . . 344
    - 7.2.1 Public Incentives to Support Institutional Arbitral Liability . . . . . 346

- 7.2.2 Why Does Public Support Matter? . . . . . 349
- 7.3 The Scope of National Reforms . . . . . 355
  - 7.3.1 Public Regulations of Arbitrability. . . . . 355
  - 7.3.2 Public Regulations of Institutional Contracts. . . . . 356
  - 7.3.3 Public Regulations of the Scope of Institutional  
and Arbitrators’ Liability . . . . . 357
  - 7.3.4 Remedies Available to Institutional Arbitration Actors . . . . . 357
  - 7.3.5 Damages Awarded as a Result of Institutional  
Liability Claims and Their Further Implications  
for the Integrity and Finality of the Institutional  
Arbitration Processes . . . . . 363
- 7.4 Conclusion . . . . . 368
- References . . . . . 369
- 8 Conclusion. Risk Acceptance Versus Risk Avoidance:  
On Why Arbitral Institutions Should Eventually Reform  
Their Liability . . . . . 371**
  - 8.1 Institutional Arbitral Liability as a Recurrent Issue . . . . . 371
  - 8.2 Proposals in a Nutshell. . . . . 372
  - 8.3 Institutional Arbitral Liability and the Need for Self-Regulation . . . 374
  - 8.4 Arbitral Institutional Liability Advances the Legal, Societal,  
and Economic Facets of Arbitral Institutions. . . . . 375
  - 8.5 Institutional Arbitral Liability as a Response to the Dual  
Legitimacy Challenges . . . . . 380
  - References . . . . . 383
- Index. . . . . 385**



<http://www.springer.com/978-94-6265-110-4>

The Liability of Arbitral Institutions: Legitimacy  
Challenges and Functional Responses

Warwas, B.A.

2017, XII, 388 p. 3 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-110-4

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press