

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Collective Redress and Cross-Border Mass Disputes	1
1.2	Parameters of This Book	4
1.3	Book Structure	8
1.4	Typologies/Classifications of Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU	9
1.4.1	Public Law Mechanisms and Private Law Mechanisms	9
1.4.2	Aggregate Litigation and Representative Litigation	11
1.5	Goals of Collective Redress Mechanisms	14
1.5.1	Introduction	14
1.5.2	Efficient Legal Protection	15
1.5.3	Effective Legal Protection	17
1.5.4	Reduction of the Administrative Burden on the Judiciary	17
1.6	Goals of the Brussels Regulation	18
1.6.1	Introduction	18
1.6.2	Free Movement of Judgments	19
1.6.3	Rights of the Defence	19
1.6.4	Legal Certainty	20
1.6.5	Resolving a Dispute Before an Appropriate Court	21
	References	22

## Part I Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU

<b>2</b>	<b>German KapMuG Procedure</b>	<b>27</b>
2.1	Introduction	27
2.2	Deutsche Telekom and KapMuG History	29
2.3	How a KapMuG Procedure Is Initiated	31
2.4	What Plaintiffs Can Achieve Through a KapMuG Procedure	33

2.5	Recent Experience with the Act, and Future Developments . . . .	33
	References. . . . .	34
<b>3</b>	<b>Dutch Collective Action. . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	35
3.2	History of Collective Action. . . . .	36
3.3	Parties That Can Bring a Collective Action? . . . . .	37
3.4	Criteria for Bringing a Collective Action . . . . .	38
3.5	The Result of Bringing a Collective Action . . . . .	39
3.6	Recent Experience with Collective Actions . . . . .	41
3.7	Future Developments . . . . .	42
	References. . . . .	44
<b>4</b>	<b>Dutch WCAM Procedure . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Collective Settlement History . . . . .	47
4.2	The Conditions for Arranging a WCAM Settlement. . . . .	48
4.3	What Can Eventually Be Achieved with a WCAM Settlement . . . . .	50
4.4	WCAM Case Law . . . . .	50
4.4.1	Dexia Case . . . . .	51
4.4.2	Vedior Case. . . . .	52
4.4.3	Shell Case . . . . .	52
4.4.4	Converium Case . . . . .	54
4.5	Current and Future Developments . . . . .	56
4.5.1	Amendments to the WCAM . . . . .	56
4.5.2	Preliminary Questions Supreme Court. . . . .	57
	References. . . . .	59
 <b>Part II Jurisdiction in Cross-Border Mass Disputes</b>		
<b>5</b>	<b>Jurisdiction and the KapMuG . . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	66
5.2	Submission . . . . .	67
5.3	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	69
5.3.1	Application of Chapter II, Section 4 Brussels I-Bis. . . .	69
5.3.2	Jurisdiction in KapMuG Procedure Relating to Financial Products. . . . .	72
5.4	Choice of Forum Agreement . . . . .	73
5.4.1	Choice of Forum Agreement in Consumer-Related Matters . . . . .	74
5.4.2	Choice of Forum Agreement in Non-Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	78
5.4.3	Conclusion . . . . .	82
5.5	General Provision . . . . .	83

5.6	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters . . . . .	84
5.6.1	Various Places of Performance . . . . .	86
5.7	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters . . . . .	87
5.7.1	Place Where the Harmful Event Occurred or May Occur . . . . .	88
5.8	Effect of Grounds of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	92
5.8.1	Effective Legal Protection . . . . .	92
5.8.2	Efficient Legal Protection . . . . .	95
5.8.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary . . . . .	97
	References. . . . .	99
<b>6</b>	<b>Jurisdiction and the Dutch Collective Action . . . . .</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	101
6.2	Submission Rule. . . . .	103
6.3	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	104
6.4	Choice of Forum Agreement . . . . .	105
6.4.1	Mass Dispute Relating to a Financial Product. . . . .	106
6.4.2	Securities Mass Dispute. . . . .	109
6.5	General Provision . . . . .	110
6.6	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters . . . . .	110
6.7	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters . . . . .	112
6.8	Effect of Grounds of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress. . . . .	114
6.8.1	Effective Legal Protection . . . . .	114
6.8.2	Efficient Legal Protection . . . . .	116
6.8.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary . . . . .	117
6.9	Conclusions . . . . .	118
	References. . . . .	118
<b>7</b>	<b>Jurisdiction and the WCAM . . . . .</b>	<b>119</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	119
7.2	Procedural Role of Parties and Applicability of the Brussels Regulation . . . . .	121
7.3	Submission Rule. . . . .	123
7.4	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters. . . . .	125
7.5	Choice of Forum Agreement . . . . .	126
7.5.1	Choice of Forum Agreement Between the Victims and the Perpetrator . . . . .	127
7.5.2	Choice of Forum Agreement as Part of the Settlement Agreement. . . . .	128
7.6	General Provision and Co-Defendants . . . . .	129
7.6.1	Co-Defendants Pursuant to Article 8(1) Brussels I-Bis . . . . .	133

7.7	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters . . . . .	134
7.8	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters . . . . .	137
7.9	Effect of Ground of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	137
7.9.1	Effective Legal Protection and Finality . . . . .	138
7.9.2	Efficient Legal Protection . . . . .	139
7.9.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary . . . . .	141
7.9.4	Conclusion . . . . .	141
	References . . . . .	142
<b>8</b>	<b>Parallel Proceedings . . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	145
8.2	Lis Pendens . . . . .	146
8.2.1	Requirements . . . . .	146
8.2.2	Application of Lis Pendens Rule to Collective Redress Mechanisms . . . . .	147
8.3	Related Actions . . . . .	150
8.3.1	Requirements . . . . .	150
8.3.2	Application of Related Actions Rule to Collective Redress Mechanisms . . . . .	151
8.4	Conclusions . . . . .	154
8.5	Parallel Proceedings and Collective Redress Goals . . . . .	154
	References . . . . .	157
 <b>Part III Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Collective Redress Judgments</b>		
<b>9</b>	<b>Goals of the Brussels Regulation Regarding Jurisdiction . . . . .</b>	<b>159</b>
9.1	Interim Conclusions Regarding Jurisdiction . . . . .	159
9.2	Goals of the Brussels Regulation . . . . .	160
9.2.1	Legal Certainty . . . . .	160
9.2.2	Most Appropriate Court . . . . .	163
9.2.3	Preliminary Conclusions . . . . .	165
	Reference . . . . .	166
<b>10</b>	<b>Recognition and Enforcement of KapMuG Judgments . . . . .</b>	<b>169</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	171
10.2	‘Judgment’ or Court Settlement? . . . . .	172
10.3	Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement of a KapMuG Judgment . . . . .	174
10.3.1	Public Policy . . . . .	175
10.3.2	Defaulting Defendant . . . . .	177
10.3.3	Irreconcilable Judgment . . . . .	178

10.3.4	Conflict with a Judgment Given in Another Member State . . . . .	180
10.3.5	Summary . . . . .	181
10.4	Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	182
	References. . . . .	183
<b>11</b>	<b>Recognition and Enforcement in Relation to a Collective Action</b>	
	<b>Procedure. . . . .</b>	<b>185</b>
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	185
11.2	Cross-Border Effect on Third Parties . . . . .	186
11.3	Enforcement in a Collective Action Procedure . . . . .	189
11.4	Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement in a Collective Action Procedure . . . . .	189
11.4.1	Defaulting Defendant. . . . .	190
11.4.2	Public Policy . . . . .	191
11.4.3	Irreconcilable Judgment. . . . .	192
11.4.4	Conflict with Judgment Given in Another Member State . . . . .	193
11.4.5	Summary . . . . .	194
11.5	Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	195
	References. . . . .	197
<b>12</b>	<b>Recognition and Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment . . . . .</b>	<b>199</b>
12.1	Introduction . . . . .	199
12.2	‘Judgment’ or Court Settlement . . . . .	200
12.3	Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment. . . . .	203
12.4	Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment . . . . .	203
12.5	Defaulting Defendant . . . . .	203
12.5.1	Public Policy . . . . .	205
12.5.2	Irreconcilable Judgment. . . . .	209
12.5.3	Conflict with Judgment Given in Another Member State . . . . .	209
12.5.4	Summary . . . . .	210
12.6	Goals of Collective Redress . . . . .	211
	References. . . . .	212
<b>13</b>	<b>Goals of the Brussels Regulation Regarding Recognition and Enforcement. . . . .</b>	<b>213</b>
13.1	Interim Conclusions Regarding Recognition and Enforcement. . . . .	213
13.2	Goals of the Brussels Regulation . . . . .	214

## **Part IV Making Cross-Border Collective Redress Possible**

<b>14 Summary</b>	221
14.1 Typologies of Collective Redress Mechanisms	221
14.2 Application of the Rules on Jurisdiction	223
14.2.1 KapMuG Procedure	223
14.2.2 Dutch Collective Action	225
14.2.3 WCAM Procedure	226
14.2.4 Lis Pendens Rule	226
14.3 Application of the Rules of Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	227
14.3.1 KapMuG Procedure	227
14.3.2 Collective Action	228
14.3.3 WCAM Procedure	228
14.4 Principles of Collective Redress and the Brussels Regulation	229
14.4.1 Principles of Collective Redress	230
14.4.2 Goals of the Brussels Regulation	233
14.5 Applicability of Brussels Regulation and Recommendations	235
Reference	239
<b>15 Relevant Developments and Possible Future Research</b>	241
15.1 Introduction	241
15.2 Consultation ‘Towards a Coherent Approach to Collective Redress’	242
15.3 Recommendation ‘Towards a European Horizontal Framework for Collective Redress’	244
15.4 IBA Guidelines	246
15.5 Insolvency Regulation	246
15.6 Alternative Solutions and Possible Future Research	248
References	251
<b>Bibliography</b>	253
<b>Case Law</b>	261
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	265
<b>Index</b>	267

Collective Redress and Private International Law in the  
EU

Bosters, M.W.F.

2017, XV, 268 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-185-2

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press