

Contents

Part I Law Under Modernization: Foundational Discourse (1947–1990)

1 The Law and Judiciary in Pakistan: Beyond a Liberal Understanding	3
1.1 The Liberal Legal Project in Pakistan	3
1.2 Theoretical Grasp of the Liberal Legal Project in Pakistan	9
1.2.1 Institutionalism and Functionalism of Liberal Understanding	9
1.2.2 Institutionalism and Functionalism in Postcolonies	11
1.2.3 Critique of Institutionalism-Functionalist Paradigm: The Issue of Change in Postcolonies	13
1.3 Legal Analysis in Relation to State and Society: Theoretical Departure from Liberal Legal Analysis	15
1.3.1 Few Comments About Methodology	22
1.4 A New Theoretical Understanding of State and Politics of Postcolonial Pakistan	23
1.4.1 'Liberal' Understanding of Law and State in Postcolonial Pakistan	23
1.4.2 From a 'Legal State' to a 'Class State'	25
1.4.3 Postcolonial Pakistani State: Factual or Theoretical Departure?	26
1.4.4 Hegemonic Class?: Redefining the Place of Centre-Periphery Relations in State Formation	28
1.4.5 Myth of Miserable Politicians vis-a-vis Military: Nature of Hegemony	30
1.4.6 Crisis of the Hegemony as the Crisis of the State	35
1.4.7 Bringing Class Struggle Back in State Formation	38
References	39

2	Law in the Era of Capitalist Modernization (1947–1960s)	43
2.1	Law and State During Colonial Rule	44
2.2	Did Pakistan Inherit a Good Judicial System?	47
2.3	Modernizers Even Before Modernization	49
2.4	Class Formation Behind ‘Overdeveloped’ State	50
2.5	Pindi Conspiracy Case	53
2.6	Moulvi Tamizuddin Khan Case	56
2.7	The Judiciary as a Part of Juridico-Bureaucratic State Structure	60
2.8	The Significance of the Shift in Hegemonic Influence from U.K. to U.S.	62
2.9	1958—General Ayub’s Coup (Dosso Case)	65
2.9.1	Revolution/Evolution or Preventing ‘Revolution’	66
2.9.2	Ayub ‘the Modernizer’ and Pakistan as the Experimental Lab	72
2.9.3	Judiciary’s Modernization ‘Coalition’	73
2.10	Democracy and Fundamental Rights in Political Development: A Critical Appraisal of Munir, Cornelius and Kayani CJs	78
2.10.1	Presidential System Like U.S.: Elite Democracy or Controlled Democracy?	78
2.10.2	The Cornelius ‘Rights’ Approach to Substitute for the Democratic Deficit?	80
2.10.3	The Nature of Politics in Rights Cases	84
2.10.4	Islam and Jirga System in Nation-Building	90
2.11	The Collapse of Modernization and Revisiting the Role of Law	93
	References	99
3	Law Under Bhutto’s Socialism (1970–1980s)	103
3.1	Judiciary Confronting Popular Democracy: 1968–1973	103
3.1.1	The Rising Class and National Liberation Struggles and Changing Place of Law in Political Development	104
3.1.2	Asma Jilani Case: For Democracy or Just Against a Dictator?	112
3.1.3	Zia-ur-Rahman Case: A Judicial Retreat on the Face of Popular Democracy	114
3.2	Democratic Deficit Under Bhutto and the Return of Strong Judiciary: 1973–1977	117
3.2.1	Judicial Reaction to Bhutto’s Socialism	117
3.2.2	There Is a Need of Check on the Legislature	120
3.2.3	Recall for a Presidential System	121
3.2.4	Rights Under the Bhutto Regime: Confrontation or a Substitute for Democratic Deficit	122

3.2.5	Legislature's Reaction	126
3.2.6	Liberal Rights, But No to Ethno-nationalism—The National Awami Party Case	127
3.2.7	Bhutto's Downfall: Countering the 'Suppression Explanation'	129
3.3	Juridico-Bureaucratic Cornelius Tradition Restored (1980s)	131
3.3.1	Rehabilitating the Old State Structure	133
3.3.2	Rolling Back Popular Democracy to the Extent of "Judicial Murder" of Bhutto	134
3.3.3	Zia's Constitutional Engineering: Fine Tuning of the Juridico-Bureaucratic Structure	136
3.4	Revival of the Constitution of 1973 Order, 1985-RCO: A Perfection of the U.S. Type Presidential System and Islam of Cornelius Tradition (1985–1988)	138
3.5	Public Interest Litigation (PIL): A Deficit for Democracy, Dying Working Class Politics and the Emergence of Middle Class.	141
3.5.1	<i>Benazir Bhutto</i> Cases	142
3.5.2	PIL, Islam and Judiciary's Rise.	144
3.6	The Rise of Liberal and Quasi-liberal (Islamic) 'Legalism' and the Dying Working Class Struggle.	148
	References.	152

Part II Law Under Neo-Liberal Development: Rights for Democratic Deficit (1990–2008)

4	A Strong Judiciary in a 'Weakening State' (the 1990s)	157
4.1	Class Formations Under Neo-liberalism	157
4.1.1	The Start of Neo-liberal Globalization and the Rise of New Institutionalism	157
4.1.2	1990s: The State for the Market and not for the People	159
4.1.3	Changing State Formation and Class Formation in Pakistan.	160
4.2	Judiciary in Transitional Governance (1988–1990).	162
4.2.1	Class Formation: Which is the Hegemonic Class?.	162
4.2.2	The Cornelius Tradition in the Judicial Office.	164
4.2.3	The Dissolution of the Benazir Bhutto Assembly Case	166
4.2.4	Did the Legislature Not Legislate or Were There Legislative Hurdles?	167
4.2.5	Political Defection: A Weak Democracy in a 'Strong State'.	168
4.2.6	Appointment of Judges (1988–1990).	169

4.2.7	Not the Unconstitutional Act but Constitution Itself was a Problem.	170
4.3	The First Regime of Nawaz Sharif (1990–93): Separating the Powers in a Weakening State	171
4.3.1	Contours of the ‘Liberal Legal Project’ in Pakistan.	172
4.3.2	Separation of Power and Independence of the Judiciary: A Gap in Parliamentary Democracy	174
4.3.3	Bar’s Politics: Constitutional Politics and Rising Petit Bourgeoisie	176
4.3.4	What About the Working Class in the ‘Liberal’ and Quasi-liberal Project?	178
4.3.5	Public Interest Litigation: A New Level of the Juridico-Bureaucratic Structure in the Cornelius Tradition	179
4.3.6	Dissolution of Nawaz’s Assembly (1993)	188
4.4	Benazir Bhutto’s Second Term (1993–96): The Judiciary and Democracy Confrontation	189
4.4.1	Appointment of Judges: The Democratic Regime Exercising Constitutional Power?	190
4.4.2	Judges’ Case: Appointment of Judges Is not the Right of a Democratic Regime	191
4.4.3	Dissolution of Assembly at the Altar of Independence of Judiciary	194
4.4.4	‘State Capture’: Disenchantment with the Washington Consensus and Subalterns	195
4.5	Nawaz Sharif’s Second Term (1997–1999)	196
4.5.1	Independence of the Judiciary to Judicial Activism: Confronting Democracy ‘Actively’	196
4.5.2	Old Juridico-Bureaucratic Structure Reacting to Democracy	197
4.5.3	Resisting a Parallel Judicial System of Special Anti-Terrorist Courts	199
4.5.4	Tools Beyond Judicial Means: From Suo Moto to Social Investigation and the Organ for ‘National Integration’	200
4.5.5	Appointment of Judges: The Judiciary’s Exclusive Right	200
4.5.6	Challenging the Supremacy of the Legislature.	201
4.5.7	1998: Supremacy of the Parliament?	203
4.6	The Mid-1990s: Crucial Years for Public Interest Litigation	206
4.7	‘Good Governance’: A Class Explanation of Musharraf’s Coup (October, 1999).	208
	References.	210

5	Good Governance by Judiciary—The 2000s	213
5.1	Institutional Rearrangement: Installing the Governance Structure	214
5.2	State Formation in the Era of Good Governance	216
5.3	Judicial Decisions Under Dictatorship: Restoring the Juridico-Bureaucratic Structure	218
5.4	Class Formations Under ‘Good Governance’	224
5.4.1	An Undermined Legislature	224
5.4.2	Struggle Against the Judiciary’s Encroachment on the Legislative Powers	225
5.4.3	Supremacy of the Constitution as Supremacy of the Judiciary	226
5.4.4	Opposition: Correcting the Judiciary Before Democracy	228
5.4.5	The Liberal Legal Project	230
5.5	Judiciary as Custodian of Global Modernity Under ‘Good Governance’: Steering the Juridico-Bureaucratic Structure (2000–2006)	234
5.5.1	Public Interest Litigation Until 2006: A Comfortable Substitute for Democracy	240
5.5.2	2006: The Judiciary’s Own Consciousness of Its Linear Development and Weaknesses in Leading Global Modernity	241
5.6	Lawyers’ Movement: From Supremacy of the Constitution to Supremacy of the ‘Rule of Law’	242
5.6.1	Emergency Against Judicial Activism and Public Interest Litigation	244
5.6.2	Rule of Law or Politics: Class Formation and the Lawyers’ Movement	245
5.6.3	Lawyers’ Movement: A Political Movement for a ‘Non-Political’ Judiciary?	247
5.7	After the Lawyers’ Movement: Reclaiming Parliamentary Sovereignty	249
5.7.1	From Parliamentary Democracy to Constitutional Democracy	250
5.8	‘Loud’ Rights in a ‘Shallow Democracy’: A Structural Analysis	251
5.8.1	Bonded Labour	252
5.8.2	Gender and Public Interest Litigation	254
5.8.3	Public Interest Litigation and the Environment	258
5.8.4	Conclusion	259
	References	260

6	Some Theoretical Implications	263
6.1	A Departure from a ‘Liberal’ Analysis About Pakistan	263
6.2	Theoretical Implications for Law and Development, Looking at the Work of Douglas North, Brian Tamanaha, David Trubek	265
6.2.1	Douglas North	265
6.2.2	Brian Tamanaha	267
6.2.3	David Trubek	269
6.3	Post-Development, TWAIL and Critical Legal Studies	272
6.4	Social Change Beyond Law: Final Reflections	275
	References	277

Law, State and Inequality in Pakistan

Explaining the Rise of the Judiciary

Azeem, M.

2017, XVIII, 278 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-981-10-3844-0