

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background to Granting Additional Protection for Databases	2
1.2	Structure of the Chapters	8
2	The Argument for a Database Directive in Europe	11
2.1	Suggesting Protection for Databases in the First Draft Proposal	12
2.1.1	Database Production and Legal Structure in Europe	14
2.1.2	Protecting Electronic Databases	16
2.2	Incentive Argument and Production of Databases	20
2.2.1	Perspective of Producers at Proposal Stage	22
2.2.2	The First Evaluation Report and Question of Incentive	23
2.3	Feist Decision as an Argument for Protection of Databases	31
2.4	Two-Tier Structure of Database Directive	34
3	<i>Feist</i>, Not a New-Line of Jurisprudence	37
3.1	<i>Feist</i> Guidelines	37
3.1.1	Originality and the US Constitution	45
3.1.2	The Threshold for Protecting Compilations	46
3.2	<i>Feist</i> and the Existing Law in US	48
3.2.1	Principles of <i>Feist</i> in Previous Cases	51
3.2.2	Registration of Compilations Subsequent to <i>Feist</i>	55
3.3	Cases Following Principles of <i>Feist</i>	56
3.3.1	Threshold of Protection	61
3.3.2	Extent of Consistency	64
4	The Effect of <i>Feist</i> in the US Database Market	69
4.1	‘Sweat of the Brow’ as an Incentive for Database Production	69
4.1.1	Extent of Impact on Producers	70
4.1.2	Use of Technical Measures	72

4.2	Five Years After <i>Feist</i>	73
4.3	Amount of Investment Towards Databases in US	76
4.3.1	Investment Towards Database Business	78
4.3.2	Effective Business Policy and Utility of Legislation	80
4.4	US Database Right Debate and <i>Feist</i> Decision	83
4.4.1	EU Influence at Initial Stages in the American Database Debate	84
4.4.2	Effect of <i>Warren Publishing</i> and <i>Matthew Bender</i>	90
5	Threshold of Author's Own Intellectual Creation	97
5.1	<i>Football Dataco</i> Case in England	97
5.1.1	Selection Process Adopted	101
5.1.2	The Required Threshold of Creativity	103
5.2	CJEU Observation in <i>Football Dataco</i>	107
5.2.1	Creativity in Data Creation	109
5.2.2	Creativity and Single-Sourced Database	110
5.3	Overview of AOIC Threshold	110
5.3.1	Member States' Interpretation of Article 3	111
5.3.2	Convergence to a Uniform AOIC Threshold	123
5.3.3	Modicum of Creativity	125
6	<i>Feist</i> Standard in the Threshold Assigned to AOIC	127
6.1	Resemblance of <i>Feist</i> Standard	127
6.1.1	Minimum Creativity and a Second Comer	128
6.1.2	Pre-existing Work, Use of Computer and Sweat of the Brow	130
6.1.3	Application of Quantitative Factor	132
6.2	<i>Feist</i> Standard in the Formative Stage of Article 3	133
6.2.1	Green Paper to First Draft Proposal: From Berne to <i>Feist</i>	135
6.2.2	Threshold Over the Years	137
6.3	<i>Feist</i> Jurisprudence in Factual Contents and Monopoly	138
6.4	<i>Feist</i> , Non-electronic Databases and Article 3	140
6.4.1	Unique Arrangement in Competitive Situation	142
6.4.2	Selected Information and Market Demand	143
6.5	Experiments with Database Right	144
7	Uncertainties with <i>Sui Generis</i> Database Right: Negative Interpretation of <i>Feist</i>	147
7.1	Requirement of Database Right in the Draft Proposal	147
7.1.1	Limited Protection to Producers	149
7.1.2	Limited Incentive for Electronic Database	150
7.2	Imbalance and Complexities in the Database Right	151
7.2.1	Threshold of Substantial Investment Uncertain	153

7.2.2	Limited Exceptions	156
7.2.3	Uncertain Term of Protection	159
7.3	Single-Sourced Databases Under the Directive	161
7.3.1	Type of Investment Under Article 7	165
7.3.2	Monopoly Over Factual Content	166
7.3.3	Database Right: Extra Layer of Protection	168
	Concluding Remarks	171
	Bibliography	175

Footprints of Feist in European Database Directive

A Legal Analysis of IP Law-making in Europe

Gupta, I.

2017, XVII, 189 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-981-10-3980-5