

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	FET as a Manifestation of ‘Normative Equity’	2
1.3	FET and Treaty Practice	8
1.4	The Role of Case Law and Scholarship in the Interpretation of FET	13
1.5	Outline of the Book	14
	References	16
<b>2</b>	<b>FET and the Ongoing Debate on Its Normative Basis</b>	19
2.1	Introduction	20
2.2	FET as an ‘Evaluation Rule’	20
2.3	FET as a Term of Art for a Reference to All Other Standards of Investment Protection	22
2.4	FET and Custom. FET as a Specific Instance of the International Minimum Standard	27
2.4.1	FET as an Autonomous Custom	32
2.5	FET as a Self-Standing Treaty Clause	38
2.6	FET and the ‘Rule of Law’ Argument	42
2.6.1	Rule of Law and General Principles Common to Domestic Systems	46
2.6.2	Rule of Law and General Principles of International Law	50
2.7	Conclusion	53
	References	53
<b>3</b>	<b>FET and Due Process of Law</b>	57
3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Due Process as a General Principle of International Law	58
3.3	FET and Denial of Justice. The Meaning of the Term ‘Denial of Justice’ in Academic Writing	62

3.4	The Meaning of the Term ‘Denial of Justice’ in International Investment Law: The <i>Azinian</i> Case . . . . .	64
3.4.1	The <i>Mondev</i> Case . . . . .	65
3.4.2	The <i>Loewen</i> Case . . . . .	68
3.4.3	Arbitral Case Law in the Aftermath of <i>Mondev</i> and <i>Loewen</i> . . . . .	71
3.4.4	Denial of Justice as a Manifestation of the German Model of <i>Justizverweigerung</i> . . . . .	73
3.5	FET and Procedural Fairness in Administrative Proceedings. The Foreign Investor’s Participation in Public Decisions . . . . .	75
3.5.1	The Conditions Under Which the Right to Be Heard Can Be Violated: The Right Should Be Provided for by the Host State Legal System . . . . .	78
3.5.2	The Administrative Decision Should Cause a Serious Economic Loss to the Investor . . . . .	79
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	82
	References. . . . .	82
<b>4</b>	<b>FET and Legitimate Expectations . . . . .</b>	<b>85</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	86
4.2	Legitimate Expectations as a General Principle of International Law . . . . .	86
4.3	The Circumstances Under which an Investor’s Expectation May Be Regarded as ‘Legitimate’ . . . . .	91
4.4	FET and Expectation by Contractual Commitment. . . . .	92
4.5	FET and Expectation by Promise. Promise and National Legal Systems . . . . .	96
4.5.1	Promise and International Law . . . . .	98
4.5.2	Promise and International Investment Law . . . . .	103
4.6	FET and Expectation by Legislation. Expectation by Legislation in National Legal Systems . . . . .	109
4.6.1	Expectation by Legislation and the Original Approach Developed in Investor-State Arbitration . . . . .	111
4.6.2	The Gradual Emergence of the Notion of ‘Expectation by Induction’: The Decision in <i>Suez et al.</i> and <i>AWG Group</i> . . . . .	113
4.6.3	The Decisions in <i>Total, El Paso, Micula</i> and <i>Philip Morris</i> . . . . .	115
4.6.4	Expectation by Legislation and the State Power to Regulate . . . . .	118
4.7	Conclusion . . . . .	119
	References. . . . .	120

<b>5 FET and Proportionality</b> .....	123
5.1 Introduction .....	124
5.2 Proportionality as a General Principle of International Law .....	124
5.3 Proportionality and Its Three-Step Normative Structure: Suitability, Necessity and Proportionality <i>Stricto Sensu</i> .....	127
5.4 Proportionality and Domestic Courts .....	128
5.5 Proportionality and International Courts .....	131
5.6 Proportionality as an FET Element .....	134
5.6.1 Business Risk and Its Impact on the Proportionality Analysis .....	136
5.6.2 The ‘Minimum Threshold of Prejudice’ Requirement and Its Impact on the Necessity Test. ....	137
5.6.3 The ‘Minimum Threshold of Prejudice’ Requirement and Its Impact on Proportionality <i>Stricto Sensu</i> .....	138
5.7 Conclusion .....	141
References. ....	141
<b>6 FET and the Driving Role of Case Law</b> .....	143
6.1 Introduction .....	143
6.2 ‘Taking into Account’ Approach and Domestic Jurisdictions .....	144
6.3 ‘Taking into Account’ Approach and International Jurisdictions ..	147
6.4 ‘Taking into Account’ Approach and Investor-State Arbitration ..	151
6.4.1 ‘Taking into Account’ Approach and FET .....	154
6.4.2 ‘Taking into Account’ Approach and Annulment Committees Decisions .....	155
6.4.3 The Interference Between ICSID and UNCITRAL Case Law .....	156
6.5 Conclusion .....	157
References. ....	158
<b>7 Conclusion</b> .....	161
References. ....	165
<b>Table of Cases</b> .....	167
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	175
<b>Index</b> .....	187

Fair and Equitable Treatment and the Fabric of General Principles

Palombino, F.M.

2018, XVI, 189 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-209-5

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press