

245 C₂H₃N

MW, *ab initio* calculations
(MP2/cc-pCVQZ,
CCSD(T)/cc-pCVQZ)

Methyl isocyanide

Isocyanomethane

C_{3v}H₃C–N=C

r_0	Å	θ_0	deg
N=C	1.1720(16)	H–C–N	109.35(3)
C–N	1.4223(14)		
C–H	1.0923(5)		
r_s^a	Å	θ_s^a	deg
N=C	1.1699(21)	H–C–N	109.37(8)
C–N	1.4209(16)		
C–H	1.0937(17)		
$r_m^{(1)b}$	Å	$\theta_m^{(1)b}$	deg
N=C	1.1683(22)	H–C–N	109.27(9)
C–N	1.4186(19)		
C–H	1.0951(19)		
$r_m^{(2)c}$	Å	$\theta_m^{(2)c}$	deg
N=C	1.1708(13)	H–C–N	108.91(12)
C–N	1.4181(8)		
C–H	1.0988(8)		
r_e^d	Å	θ_e^d	deg
N=C	1.1666(6)	H–C–N	109.47(15)
C–N	1.4259(3)		
C–H	1.0902(20)		

The best equilibrium structure, which was derived from high-level *ab initio* calculations, is $r_e(\text{C–H}) = 1.086$ Å, $r_e(\text{C–N}) = 1.422$ Å, $r_e(\text{N=C}) = 1.169$ Å, and $\theta_e(\text{H–C–N}) = 109.47^\circ$. The original paper also reports the results for which $r(\text{C–H}) - r(\text{C–D})$ was taken into account.

^a) $c_a = -0.008(14)$, $c_b = c_c = 0.115(72)$, in $\text{u}^{1/2}$ Å, included in the fit.

^b) $c_a = -0.012(9)$, $c_b = c_c = 0.037(17)$, in $\text{u}^{1/2}$ Å, included in the fit.

^c) $c_a = -0.177(20)$, $c_b = c_c = 0.008(17)$, in $\text{u}^{1/2}$ Å, $d_a = 0.179(21)$, $d_b = d_c = 0.120(78)$, in $\text{u}^{1/2}$ Å², included in the fit.

^d) Extrapolated from the r_z structure

Margulés, L., Demaison, J., Rudolph, H.D.: J. Mol. Struct. **599** (2001) 23.

Replaces [II/25B\(3, 690\)](#), MW (first part)